

Equivalence Relation of Einstein and Moller Energy-Momentum Complexes in Teleparallel Gravity Theory

Amjad Ali and Junaid Shahzada*

Department of Basic Sciences and Islamiat,

University of Engineering and Technology Peshawar, Peshawar Pakistan.

E-mail: amjad.ali@uetpeshawar.edu.pk, junaid.bs@uetpeshawar.edu.pk

*Corresponding Author: junaid.bs@uetpeshawar.edu.pk*February 16, 2024*

Abstract

In this paper, we established the equivalence relation of Einstein and Moller energy-momentum prescriptions via distinct diagonal and non-diagonal space times in teleparallel gravity theory. We perform an extensive investigation of the localization of energy-momentum in various space-times. We determined that both of the prescriptions (Einstein and Moller) provide equivalent results of energy-momentum and super potentials for any space-times (diagonal and non-diagonal). We further explored that the tensor quantity $\Delta_{\mu}^{i\alpha}$ must be equal to zero for non-diagonal space-times in teleparallel gravity theory.

Keywords: Einstein energy-momentum complex, Moller energy-momentum complex, Teleparallel gravity, Space-times.

Date of Submission: 17-03-2024

Date of acceptance: 31-03-2024

I. INTRODUCTION

The energy-momentum localization [1–3] has been a problem for the physics research community gradually after the emergence of the general theory of relativity. Numerous authors, including Einstein [4], Moller [2, 5], Bergmann-Thomson [6], and their collaborators, have attempted to use a variety of space-

time measures and energy-momentum formulations [7, 8] to solve the energy-momentum localization issue [9]. They discovered that energy-momentum complexes hold true for well-known and physically significant space times. They evaluated the same major emotions in both the GR and TG theories.

The distribution of energy-momentum has been the subject of several investigations that have been documented in the literature. However, Einstein was the pioneer in this field, and since then, Lnadu-Lifshitz [10], Tolman [11], Papapetrou [12], Moller, Weinberg [13], Bergmen-Thomson, and have all produced various energy and momentum prescriptions. Numerous methods were employed put out since the discovery of general relativity to derive the conservation rules that defined generic systems. But more recently, teleparallel gravitation [TG], a competing theory of gravity, has also raised this issue [14]. The usage of Moller prescriptions therefore appears to be more fascinating, useful, and suitable when discovering the energy-momentum since one of the most significant reasons is that it is not coordinate dependent.

The concept of energy-momentum complexes was heavily questioned for several reasons. First, the nature of symmetric and locally preserved. The object is not tensorial, making its physical meaning unclear [15]. Secondly, various energy-momentum complexes may produce distinct distributions for the same gravitational backdrop [16, 17]. Energy-momentum complexes were shown to be local objects, contrary to popular belief that gravitational fields cannot be localized [18]. Penrose and colleagues created a concept known as quasi-local energy [19, 20]. Although these quasi-local masses are theoretically significant, their definitions have fundamental flaws. Chang et al. [21] shown that energy-momentum complexes are valid formulations of the energy-momentum.

According to Mikhail et al [22, 23], the energy-momentum issue can also be localized inside the teleparallel theory of gravity. This idea was originally proposed to define the energy of the gravitational field. Möller [24] was the first to recognize that the tetrad description of the gravitational field provides a more accurate representation of gravitational energy-momentum than general relativity [GR]. Vargas [25] discovered that the closed FRW spacetimes had zero total energy when using the teleparallel form of Einstein and Landau-Lifshitz complexes. This finding is consistent with prior studies by Cooperstock [26] and Rosen [27]. According to Vargas et al [28], Bianchi types I and II in TPT produce consistent results. Sharif and Jamil [29] discovered that the Lewis-Papapetrou measure yields different outcomes in TPT compared to GR [30].

In our study, we aim to investigate the distribution of energy and momentum within the context of TG, considering various metrics. While researchers have explored this issue in different contexts like GR and TG, our focus is specifically on the energy-momentum distribution in TG and its relationship with diverse metrics. Recently A.T Ali et al [31], showed the equivalence of energy-momentum complexes of Einstein and Tolman in G.R. They proved that energy-momentum tensors defined by Einstein and Tolman in G.R are same for any space-time. They also established that Einstein and Tolman super-potentials are different in general. Keeping in view these points, in this paper we will try to show that Einstein and Moller energy-momentum complexes gives same

results for different space-times in teleparallel theory of gravity [TPGT]. In particular we will take different space-time metrics and show that equivalence of Einstein and Moller energy-momentum complexes exists in teleparallel gravity theory. This paper is consists on some sections, in section 3 a general summary of Einstein and Moller energy-momentum complexes in the context of teleparallel gravity theory is given. In section 4 there are some particular space-time examples are given for the verification of the equivalence relation of Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors gives same results for the energy-momentum distribution in teleparallel gravity theory. In section 5 conclusion of the whole work is given.

1 Einstein and Moller energy momentum Tensors

1.1 Einstein energy momentum Tensor

The tensor defined by Einstein for energy momentum in teleparallel gravity theory and the super potential in the Moller tetrad theory is given as [25, 32, 33]

$$\begin{cases} h\Phi_{\nu}^{\mu} &= \frac{2}{\kappa} \Phi_{\nu,\alpha}^{\mu}, \\ h\Phi^{\mu\kappa} &= g^{\nu k} h\Phi_{\nu}^{\mu} = \frac{2}{\kappa} g^{\nu k} \Phi_{\nu,\alpha}^{\mu\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where κ is the coupling constant and

$$h = Det(h_i^j) = \sqrt{-Det(g_{\mu\nu})} = \sqrt{-g}, \quad (2)$$

and the Fried super-potential $\Phi_{\alpha}^{[\mu\nu]}$ is given by

$$\Phi_{\alpha}^{[\mu\nu]} = h S_{\alpha}^{[\mu\nu]}, \quad (3)$$

where the tensor $S^{\mu[\nu\lambda]}$ is defined as

$$S^{i[jk]} = \frac{1}{2} (K^{[jk]i} + g^{ij} T_{\alpha}^{\alpha k} - g^{ik} T_{\alpha}^{\alpha j}), \quad (4)$$

where

$$K^{[ij]k} = \frac{1}{2} (T^{j[ik]} + T^{k[ij]} - T^{i[jk]}), \quad (5)$$

Here we note that $h\Phi_0^0$ is the energy momentum density and $h\Phi_i^0$ where $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the momentum density components and the energy current density component is $h\Phi_0^i$.

1.2 Moller energy momentum tensor

The Moller energy-momentum tensor in the context of teleparallel theory of gravity Mikhail et al. [22] are given by

$$\Psi_{\mu}^{[i\alpha]} = \frac{\hbar}{4} P_{\beta\gamma\delta}^{ki\alpha} g_{\mu k} [g^{\beta\delta} V^{\gamma} - \lambda K^{\beta\gamma\delta} - 1(1 - 2\lambda)K^{\delta\lambda\beta}], \quad (6)$$

and it's another important form is

$$\Psi_{\mu}^{[i\alpha]} = \frac{\hbar}{4} P_{\beta\gamma\delta}^{ki\alpha} g_{\mu k} (g^{\beta\delta} V^{\gamma} - K^{\beta\gamma\delta} - \lambda[K^{\beta\gamma\delta} - 2K^{\delta\lambda\beta}]), \quad (7)$$

where

$$P_{jkl}^{i\mu k} = \delta_i^j g_{kl}^{\mu\nu} + \delta_k^i g_{lj}^{\mu\nu} - \delta_i^j g_{jk}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (8)$$

and the tensor quantity $g_{kl}^{\mu\nu}$, which is defined as

$$g_{kl}^{\mu\nu} = \delta_k^{\mu} \delta_l^{\nu} - \delta_l^{\mu} \delta_k^{\nu}. \quad (9)$$

Now the Moller energy-momentum density in TPG is defined as

$$\begin{cases} h\Psi_{\nu}^{\mu} &= \frac{2}{\kappa} \Psi_{\nu,\alpha}^{\mu\alpha}, \\ h\Psi^{\mu k} &= g^{\nu k} h\Psi_{\nu}^{\mu} = \frac{2}{\kappa} g^{\nu k} \Psi_{\nu,\alpha}^{\mu\alpha} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

here $h\Psi_0^0$ stands for energy density and $h\Psi_i^0$ where $i = 1, 2, 3$ for momentum density.

2 Some particular space-times Examples

In this section, we will take ten different space-times [34] and to show that the results from the energy-momentum tensors of Einstein and Moller give the same results in all cases

Example 1. Bell-Szekeres Metric [35]. The Cartesian form of the metric is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{1}{2} dt^2 - \text{Cos}^2 \left\{ \frac{D(t-z)}{2} \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) + \frac{C(t+z)}{2} \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right\} dx^2 \\ &\quad - \text{Cos}^2 \left\{ \frac{D(z-t)}{2} \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) + \frac{C(t+z)}{2} \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right\} dy^2 - \frac{1}{2} dz^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_0^{30} = \Psi_0^{30} &= -\frac{1}{8} \left[\text{Cos} \left\{ \frac{D(t-z)}{2} \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) + \frac{C(t+z)}{2} \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right\} \right] \text{Cos} \left\{ \frac{D(z-t)}{2} \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) \right. \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} + C(t+z) \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \left. \right\} \text{Tan} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (D(t-z) \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right)) + C(t+z) \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) \right\} \\
 &(2D\alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right)) - 2C\alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + D t \alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) - D z \alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) - C t \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \\
 &- C z \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + \text{Tan} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (D(z-t) \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right)) + C(t+z) \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right\} \left[(2D\alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) \right. \right. \\
 &+ 2C\alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + D t \alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) - D z \alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) + C t \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + C z \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \left. \right] \\
 \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} = \Phi_1^{31} &- (\text{Tan} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (D(z-t) \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right)) + C(t+z) \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right\}) (2D\alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) \\
 &+ 2C\alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + D t \alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) - D z \alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) + C t \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + C z \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right)), \\
 \Phi_1^{31} &= \Psi_1^{31} \\
 \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} = \Phi_2^{32} &+ 2(-2C\alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) - C t \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) - C z \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right)) \\
 \Phi_2^{32} &= \Psi_2^{32}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now by putting the above values of super-potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 &= \frac{1}{8\kappa} \left[\text{Cos} \left\{ B(z-t) \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) \right\} (2D\alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) + D(t-z) \alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right))^2 + D \text{Sin} \right. \\
 &\left. \left\{ D(z-t) \alpha \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) \right\} - \left\{ 4\alpha' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) + (z-t) \alpha'' \left(\frac{t-z}{2} \right) \right\} C \left\{ (C \text{Cos} \left\{ C(t+z) \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. \left. (2\theta \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + (t+z) \alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right))^2 \right\} + \text{Sin} \left\{ C(t+z) \alpha \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right\} (4\alpha' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) + (t+z) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. \left. \alpha'' \left(\frac{t+z}{2} \right) \right\} \right\} \right], \\
 h\Phi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0, \\
 h\Phi_i^0 = h\Psi_i^0 = 0, \quad &i = 1, 2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Example 2. The general line element of the gravitational waves [36] is given by

$$ds^2 = e^{-N} dt^2 - e^{-N} dx^2 - e^{-P-R} dy^2 + e^{-P+R} dz^2, \tag{14}$$

where P, R and N are the functions of t and x only.

By putting the metric coefficients in eq(3) and eq(7), we obtained the following

Einstein and Moller super potential components,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_0^{10} = \Psi_0^{10} &= \frac{e^{-P} P_x}{2}, \quad \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} = -\frac{e^{-P} P_t}{2}, \quad \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} = -\frac{e^{-P} (N_t + P_t - R_t)}{4} \\
 \Phi_2^{12} = \Psi_2^{12} &= -\frac{e^{-P} (N_x + P_x - R_x)}{4}, \quad \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} = -\frac{e^{-P} (N_t + P_t + R_t)}{4} \\
 \Phi_3^{13} = \Psi_3^{13} &= -\frac{e^{-P} (N_x + P_x + R_x)}{4},
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10)

$$h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 = \frac{e^{-P} (P_{xx} - P_x^2)}{\kappa}, \quad h\Phi_1^0 = h\Psi_1^0 = \frac{e^{-P} (P_{tx} - P_x U_t)}{\kappa}, \quad h\Phi_i^0 = h\Psi_i^0 = 0; \quad i = 2, 3. \tag{16}$$

Example 3. Consider the Ruban universe model[17] which is a special form of the Szekeres universe and is given by

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - Q^2 dx^2 - R^2 (dy^2 + H^2 dz^2), \tag{17}$$

where

$$H(y) = \frac{\sin[\sqrt{K}y]}{\sqrt{k}} \begin{cases} \sin[y], & \text{if } K = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } K = 0 \\ \sin[y], & \text{if } K = -1 \end{cases}$$

and K is the curvature parameter. $Q(t, x)$ and $R(t)$ are free functions and will be determined.

By putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0^{20} = \Psi_0^{20} &= -\frac{QH'}{2}, \quad \Phi_0^{10} = \Psi_0^{10} = HQR R', \quad \Phi_1^{21} = \Psi_1^{21} = -\frac{QH'}{2} \\ \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} &= \frac{HR(R'Q + Q_tR)}{2} = \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10),

$$\begin{aligned} h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 &= -\frac{QH''}{\kappa}, \quad h\Phi_1^0 = h\Psi_1^0 = \frac{HRR'Q_x}{\kappa}, \quad h\Phi_2^0 = h\Psi_2^0 = -\frac{RH'(QR' + RQt)}{\kappa} \\ h\Phi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Example 4. Consider the Szezkers class-I space time which is defined by the line element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2B}(dx^2 + dy^2) + e^{2A}dz^2, \quad (20)$$

where $A = A(x, y, z, t)$ and $B = B(x, y, z, t)$.

By putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0^{10} = \Psi_0^{10} &= \frac{e^A(A_x + B_x)}{2}, \quad \Phi_0^{20} = \Psi_0^{20} = \frac{e^A(A_y + B_y)}{2}, \quad \Phi_0^{30} = \Psi_0^{30} = e^{-A+2B}B \\ \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} &= \frac{e^{A+2B}(-A_t - B_t)}{2} = \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20}, \quad \Phi_1^{21} = \Psi_1^{21} = \frac{e^A A_y}{2}, \\ \Phi_1^{31} = \Psi_1^{31} &= \frac{e^{-A+2B}B_z}{2} = \Phi_2^{32} = \Psi_2^{32}, \\ \Phi_2^{12} = \Psi_2^{12} &= \frac{e^A A_x}{2}, \quad \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} = -e^{A+2B}B_t, \quad \Phi_3^{13} = \Psi_3^{13} = \frac{e^A B_x}{2}, \quad \Phi_3^{23} = \Psi_3^{23} = \frac{e^A B_y}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10)

$$\begin{aligned} h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 &= h \frac{e^{-A}[2e^{2B}(2B_z^2 - B_z A_z + B_{zz}) + e^{2A}(A_y^2 + A_y B_y + A_{yy} + B_{yy} + A_x^2 + A_x B_x + A_{xx} + B_{xx})]}{\kappa} \\ h\Phi_1^0 = h\Psi_1^0 &= \frac{e^{A+2B}[(A_x + 2B_x)(A_t + B_t) + A_{ty} + B_{ty}]}{\kappa} \\ h\Phi_2^0 = h\Psi_2^0 &= \frac{e^{A+2B}[(A_y + 2B_y)(A_t + B_t) + A_{ty} + B_{ty}]}{\kappa} \\ h\Phi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0 &= \frac{2(e^{A+2B}(A_z B_t + 2B_z B_t + B_{tz}))}{\kappa}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Example 5. Consider the line element for spatially homogeneous and anisotropic Bianchi type I space time [37] is define by,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A^2 dx^2 + B^2 dy^2 + \Gamma^2 dz^2, \quad (23)$$

where A, B and Γ are depends upon t only. By putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_0^{10} = \Psi_0^{10} &= \frac{B_x \Gamma + \Gamma_x B}{2A}, \quad \Phi_0^{20} = \Psi_0^{20} = \frac{A_y \Gamma + \Gamma_y A}{2B}, \quad \Phi_0^{30} = \Psi_0^{30} = \frac{A_z B + B_z A}{2\Gamma} \\
 \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} &= -\frac{\Gamma(B_t \Gamma + \Gamma_t B)}{2}, \quad \Phi_1^{21} = \Psi_1^{21} = \frac{A \Gamma_y}{2B}, \quad \Phi_1^{31} = \Psi_1^{31} = \frac{A B_z}{2\Gamma} \\
 \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} &= -\frac{B(A_t \Gamma + \Gamma_t A)}{2}, \quad \Phi_2^{12} = \Psi_2^{12} = \frac{B \Gamma_x}{2A}, \quad \Phi_2^{32} = \Psi_2^{32} = \frac{B A_z}{2\Gamma} = -\Phi_3^{12} = -\Psi_3^{12} \\
 \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} &= -\frac{\Gamma(A_t B + B_t A)}{2}, \quad \Phi_3^{13} = \Psi_3^{13} = \frac{\Gamma B_x}{2A}, \quad \Phi_3^{23} = \Psi_3^{23} = \frac{\Gamma A_y}{2B},
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 &= \frac{1}{2A^2 B^2 \Gamma^2} [A^2 \{2B_z^2 B_z + B^2 (A_z \Gamma_z + \Gamma) A_{zz}\} - \Gamma^2 A_y B_y \\
 &+ B \Gamma^2 (2A_y \Gamma_y + \Gamma A_{yy})] + A_2 \{B_2 (\Gamma_z B_{zz} - B_z \Gamma_z) - \Gamma^2 B_y \Gamma_y + \\
 &B \Gamma^2 \Gamma_{yy}\} - B^2 \Gamma^2 A_x (\Gamma^2 B_x + B \Gamma_x) + A^2 B^2 \Gamma^2 (2B_x \Gamma_x + \Gamma_{xx} + B \Gamma_{xx}) \\
 h\Phi_1^0 = h\Psi_1^0 &= \frac{1}{\kappa} [B A_x \Gamma_t + \Gamma (A_x B_t + A B_{tx}) + A (\Gamma_x B_t + B_x \Gamma_t + B \Gamma_{tx})] \\
 h\Phi_2^0 = h\Psi_2^0 &= \frac{1}{\kappa} [A B_x \Gamma_t + \Gamma (B_y A_t + B_{ty}) + B (\Gamma_y A_t + A_y \Gamma_t + A \Gamma_{yt})] \\
 h\Phi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0 &= \frac{1}{\kappa} [\Gamma_z (B A_t + A B_t) + \Gamma (B_z A_t + A_z B_t + B A_{tz} + A B_{tz})].
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Example 6. Consider the metric function,

$$ds^2 = -A^2 dt^2 + B^2 dx^2 + F^2 dy^2 + G^2 dz^2, \tag{26}$$

where A, B, F and G are the functions of t, x, y and z . By putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_0^{10} = \Psi_0^{10} &= \frac{A(GF_x + FG_x)}{2B}, \quad \Phi_0^{20} = \Psi_0^{20} = \frac{A(GB_y + BG_y)}{2F} \\
 \Phi_0^{30} = \Psi_0^{30} &= \frac{A(FB_z + BF_z)}{2G}, \quad \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} = \frac{-B(GF_t + FG_t)}{2A} \\
 \Phi_1^{21} = \Psi_1^{21} &= \frac{B(GA_y + AG_y)}{2F}, \quad \Phi_1^{31} = \Psi_1^{31} = \frac{B(FA_z + AF_z)}{2G} \\
 \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} &= \frac{-F(GB_t + BG_t)}{2A}, \quad \Phi_2^{12} = \Psi_2^{12} = \frac{F(GA_x + AG_x)}{2B} \\
 \Phi_2^{32} = \Psi_2^{32} &= \frac{F(BA_z + AB_z)}{2G}, \quad \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} = \frac{-G(FB_t + BF_t)}{2A} \\
 \Phi_3^{13} = \Psi_3^{13} &= \frac{G(FA_x + AF_x)}{2B}, \quad \Phi_3^{23} = \Psi_3^{23} = \frac{G(BA_y + AB_y)}{2F},
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq.(10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 &= \frac{A}{G^2 F^2 B^2} \{4GF^2 B^2 (FB_y + BF_y) - 4F^2 B^2 (FB_z + BF_z)G_z + 4GF^2 B^2 \\
 &(2B_z F_z + FB_{zz} + BF_{zz}) + 4G^2 FB^2 (GB_y + BG_y) - 4G^2 B^2 (GB_y + BG_y)F_y + 4G^2 \\
 &FB^2 (2B_y G_y + GB_{yy} + BG_{yy}) + 4G^2 F^2 B (GF_x + FG_x) - 4G^2 F^2 (GF_x + FG_x B_x) + \\
 &4G^2 F^2 B (2F_x G_x + GF_{xx} + FG_{yy})\} \\
 h\Phi_1^0 = h\Psi_1^0 &= \frac{1}{2A^2} \{AB(GF_t + FG_t) + B(-FA_x G_t + G(-A_x F_t + AF_{tx}) + A(G_x F_t + F_x \\
 &G_t + FG_{tx}))\} \\
 h\Phi_2^0 = h\Psi_2^0 &= \frac{1}{2A^2} \{AF_y (GB_t + BG_t) + F(-BA_y G_t + G(-A_y B_t + AB_{ty})) + A(G_y B_t + B_y \\
 &G_t + BG_{ty})\} \\
 h\Phi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0 &= \frac{1}{2A^2} \{-GA_z (FB_t + BF_t) + AG_z (FB_t + BF_t) + AG(F_z B_t + B_z F_t + FB_{tz} + \\
 &BF_{tz})\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Example 7. Now let us consider the special type of Ferrari-Ibanez [38] degenerated spacetime is given by,

$$ds^2 = (1 + \delta \text{sint})^2 (dt^2 - dz^2) - \frac{1 - \delta \text{sint}}{1 + \delta \text{sint}} dx^2 - \cos^2 z (1 + \delta \text{sint})^2 dy^2, \tag{29}$$

where δ is an arbitrary constant. By putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_0^{30} = \Psi_0^{30} &= \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{1-\delta \text{sint}}{1+\delta \text{sint}}}(1 + \delta \text{sint} \cos t)}{2} \\
 \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} &= \delta \text{sint} \cos z \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta \text{sint}}{1 + \delta \text{sint}}} \\
 \Phi_1^{31} = \Psi_1^{31} &= \frac{-\sqrt{1 - \delta^2 \text{sin}^2 t}}{2} \\
 \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} = \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} &= \frac{\delta \text{sint} \cos z \{\sqrt{1 - \delta^2 \text{sin}^2 t} - 2(\sqrt{\frac{1-\delta \text{sint}}{1+\delta \text{sint}}})\}}{2(1 + \delta \text{sint})},
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 &= -\frac{\cos z \sqrt{1 - \delta^2 \text{sin}^2 t}}{\kappa} \\
 h\Phi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0 &= -\frac{\delta \text{sint} \cos z \{\sqrt{1 - \delta^2 \text{sin}^2 t} - 2(\sqrt{\frac{1-\delta \text{sint}}{1+\delta \text{sint}}})\}}{\kappa(1 + \delta \text{sint})} \\
 h\Phi_1^0 = h\Psi_1^0 = h\Phi_2^0 = h\Psi_2^0 &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Example 8. Consider the general Bianchi type diagonal space-time,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A^2 dx^2 + B^2 e^{2\alpha x} dy^2 + H^2 e^{2\beta x} dz^2, \tag{32}$$

where A, B and F are only depends on t . and α, β are constants. Now by putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha x + \beta x} A (HB_t + H_t B) \\
 \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha x + \beta x} B (HA_t + H_t A) \\
 \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha x + \beta x} H (BA_t + B_t A),
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10)

$$h\Phi_i^0 = h\Psi_i^0 = 0 \quad ; \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{34}$$

Example 9. Now by putting $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in eq. (32) which describe the well known diagonal space-time of Bianchi type I_0 ,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A^2 dx^2 + B^2 dy^2 + H^2 dz^2, \tag{35}$$

where A, B and F are only depends on t . Now by putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} &= \frac{2A(HB_t + BH_t)}{\kappa} \\ \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} B(HA_t + AH_t) \\ \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} H(BA_t + AB_t) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10)

$$h\Phi_i^0 = h\Psi_i^0 = 0 \quad ; \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{37}$$

Example 10. By putting $\alpha = -1, \beta = 0$ in eq. (32), we obtained the diagonal space-time which describe the well known Bianchi type III, which is given as,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A^2 dx^2 + B^2 e^{-2x} dy^2 + H^2 dz^2, \tag{38}$$

where the functions A, B and H are only depends on t . Now by putting the metric coefficients in eq. (3) and eq. (7), we obtained the following Einstein and Moller super potential components,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0^{10} = \Psi_0^{10} &= \frac{e^{-x}BH}{2A} = \Phi_3^{13} = \Psi_3^{13} \quad ; \quad \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-x}A(HB' + BH') \\ \Phi_2^{20} = \Psi_2^{20} &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{-x}B(HA' + AH') \quad ; \quad \Phi_3^{30} = \Psi_3^{30} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-x}H(BA' + AB'), \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and Einstein and Moller energy-momentum tensors are obtained by putting the above super-potentials in eq. (1) and eq. (10),

$$h\Phi_0^0 = h\Psi_0^0 = \frac{BH e^x}{\kappa A}, \quad \Phi_1^0 = \Psi_1^0 = \frac{e^x A(HB_t + A(BH_t))}{\kappa}, \quad h\Phi_2^0 = h\Psi_2^0 = h\Phi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0 = 0. \tag{40}$$

Example 11. Now if the space-time is non-diagonal in the context of tele-parallel gravity the Einstein $\Phi_\mu^{[\alpha]}$ and Moller $\Psi_\mu^{[\alpha]}$ super potentials and energy momentum densities are same through the relation $\Psi_\mu^{i\alpha} = \Phi_\mu^{i\alpha} + \lambda \Delta_\mu^{i\alpha}$, where $\Delta_\mu^{i\alpha} = (\frac{h}{2})g_{\mu k}(K^{[ki]\alpha} + K^{[\alpha k]i} + K^{[i\alpha]k})$ and the Einstein and Moller energy momentum densities is $h\Psi_\mu^i = h\Phi_\mu^i + \lambda \Delta_\mu^i$ if the super potential difference $\Delta_\mu^{[\alpha]} = 0$. Now for this we consider the non diagonal space time is given as,

$$ds^2 = Adt^2 - e^{2B} dx^2 - e^{2B} dy^2 - Hdz^2 + 2Gdzdt, \tag{41}$$

where A, B, H and G are the functions of t, x . After some lengthy calculations, we obtained the non vanishing components of the super potentials $\Delta_\mu^{i\alpha}, \Phi_\mu^{i\alpha}$ and

Ψ_{μ}^{α} are,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0^{10} &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H}(G^2 + AH)} \left(\sqrt{G} \left(A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + G^{3/2} (-HA_x + AH_x) - G \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(H^{3/2} A_x + A^{3/2} H_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Delta_0^{13} &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G}(G^2 + AH)} \left(\sqrt{H} \left(-A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + G^{3/2} (HA_x - AH_x) + G \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(H^{3/2} A_x + A^{3/2} H_x \right) \right) \right) = -\Delta_1^{03}, \\ \Delta_0^{31} &= -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G}\sqrt{H}(G^2 + AH)} \left(e^{2B} \left(-A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. G^{3/2} (HA_x - AH_x) + G \left(H^{3/2} A_x + A^{3/2} H_x \right) \right) \right) = -\Delta_3^{01}, \\ \Delta_1^{30} &= -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{G}\sqrt{H}(G^2 + AH)} \left(\sqrt{A} \left(-A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + G^{3/2} (HA_x - AH_x) + G \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(H^{3/2} A_x + A^{3/2} H_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Delta_3^{13} &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H}(G^2 + AH)} \left(\sqrt{G} \left(-A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + G^{3/2} (HA_x - AH_x) + G \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(H^{3/2} A_x + A^{3/2} H_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Phi_0^{10} &= \frac{1}{\left(8\sqrt{A} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(e^{-2B} \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(4\sqrt{A} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. G^3\sqrt{H}\log(e)B_x + 4A^{3/2}GH^{3/2}\log(e)B_x + A\sqrt{G} \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + 2A^{3/2}H^{3/2}G_x - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 2A^2H \left(2H\log(e) B_x + H_x \right) - G^2 \left(H \left(A_x + 4A\log(e)B_x \right) - 2\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H}G_x + AH_x \right) - G^{3/2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(H^{3/2}A_x + A^{3/2} H_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Phi_0^{13} &= \frac{1}{\left(8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(e^{-2B} \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(2\sqrt{A} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. G^2HG_x + A \left(\sqrt{A} - \sqrt{H} \right) H^2G_x - 2\sqrt{A}G^3H_x + G^{3/2}H \left(HA_x - AH_x \right) + G \left(H^{5/2}A_x - A^{3/2} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. HH_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Phi_0^{30} = \Psi_0^{30} &= \frac{2G \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \log(e)B_t}{2(G^2 + AH)}, \\ \Phi_0^{31} &= -\frac{1}{\left(8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(\left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + G^{3/2} (-HA_x + AH_x) - G \left(H^{3/2}A_x + A^{3/2}H_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Phi_1^{10} = \Psi_1^{10} &= -\frac{1}{\left(4 \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(\left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(2GH^{3/2}\log(e)B_t + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \sqrt{G}HG_t - G^{3/2}H_t + H \left(\sqrt{H}G_t - \sqrt{A} \left(2H\log(e)B_t + H_t \right) \right) \right) \right), \\ \Phi_1^{03} = \Psi_1^{03} &= 1 \left(8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right) \left(e^{-2B} \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(-2\sqrt{A} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. G^2HG_x + A \left(-\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) H^2G_x + 2\sqrt{A}G^3H_x + G^{3/2}H \left(-HA_x + AH_x \right) + G \left(-H^{5/2}A_x + \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. A^{3/2}HH_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Phi_1^{30} &= \frac{1}{\left(8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(e^{-2B} \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(2G^3\sqrt{H} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. A_x - 2AG^2\sqrt{H}G_x + A^2 \left(\sqrt{A} - \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + AG^{3/2} \left(-HA_x + AH_x \right) + G \left(AH^{3/2}A_x - A^{5/2}H_x \right) \right) \right), \\ \Phi_1^{31} &= \frac{1}{\left(4\sqrt{G} \left(G - \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} - \left(\left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(-2G^{5/2}\sqrt{H}\log(e)B_t + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. = \Psi_1^{31} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & AHG_t - AGH_t + G^{3/2} \left(-\sqrt{H}G_t + \sqrt{A}(2H\log(e)B_t + H_t) \right) \Big), \\
 \Phi_2^{20} = & -\frac{1}{\left(4 \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(\left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(2GH^{3/2}\log(e)B_t + \sqrt{GH} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. G_t - G^{3/2}H_t + H \left(\sqrt{H}G_t - \sqrt{A}(2H\log(e)B_t + H_t) \right) \right) \right) = \Psi_2^{20}, \\
 \Phi_2^{12} = \Psi_2^{12} = & -\frac{2 \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(HA_x - 2\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H}G_x + AH_x \right)}{2 \left(-4e^{2B}\sqrt{A}G\sqrt{H} + 4e^{2B}AH \right)}, \\
 \Phi_2^{32} = & -\frac{1}{\left(4\sqrt{G} \left(G - \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(\left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(-2G^{5/2}\sqrt{H}\log(e)B_t + \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. AHG_t - AGH_t + G^{3/2} \left(-\sqrt{H}G_t + \sqrt{A}(2H\log(e)B_x + H_x) \right) \right) \right) = \Psi_2^{32}, \\
 \Phi_3^{01} = & -\frac{1}{\left(8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(\left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. \left(-A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + G^{3/2} \left(HA_x - AH_x \right) + G \left(H^{3/2}A_x + A^{3/2}H_x \right) \right) \right), \\
 \Phi_3^{30} = & \frac{2 \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) H\log(e)B_t}{2(G^2 + AH)}, \\
 \Phi_3^{10} = & \frac{1}{\left(8\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(e^{-2B} \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(-2G^3\sqrt{H}A_x + \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. 2AG^2\sqrt{H}G_x + A^2 \left(-\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + AG^{3/2} \left(HA_x - AH_x \right) + G \left(-AH^{3/2}A_x + A^{5/2}H_x \right) \right) \right) = \Psi_3^{10}, \\
 \Phi_3^{13} = & \frac{1}{\left(8\sqrt{A} \left(-G + \sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{H} (G^2 + AH) \right)} \left(e^{-2B} \left(-e^{2B}G + e^{2B}\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H} \right) \left(-2AH^2A_x + \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. 4\sqrt{A}G^3\sqrt{H}\log(e)B_x + 4A^{3/2}GH^{3/2}\log(e)B_x - 4A^2H^2\log(e)B_x - A\sqrt{G} \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) HG_x + \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. 2A^{3/2}H^{3/2}G_x - G^2 \left(H \left(A_x + 4A\log(e)B_x \right) - 2\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H}G_x + AH_x \right) + G^{3/2} \left(H^{3/2}A_x + A^{3/2}H_x \right) \right) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the energy-momentum components Δ_μ^i , $h\Phi_\mu^i$ and $h\Psi_\mu^i$ are,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\Phi_0^0 = & \frac{1}{\left(8\kappa A^{3/2}\sqrt{G}H^{3/2} (G^2 + AH)^2 \right)} \left(A^3 \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) H^3G_x^2 + 8A^{3/2}G^{11/2}H^{3/2}\log(e)B_{xx} + 16 \right. \\
 & A^{5/2}G^{7/2}H^{5/2}\log(e)B_{xx} + 4A^2G^{3/2}H^2 \left(G_x \left(-HA_x + AH_x \right) + 2A^{3/2}H^{3/2}\log(e)B_{xx} \right) + 2AG^3 \left(\sqrt{A} \right. \\
 & \left. + \sqrt{H} \right) H \left(G_x \left(HA_x + AH_x \right) + AHG_{xx} \right) + 2A^2GH^2 \left(-2G_x \left(H^{3/2}A_x + A^{3/2}H_x \right) + A \left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. HG_{xx} \right) + AG^{5/2}H \left(A^2H_x^2 + H^2 \left(3A_x^2 - 8A\log(e)A_xB_x - 2A \left(A_{xx} + 8A\log(e)B_{xx} \right) \right) + 8A^{3/2}H^{3/2} \right. \\
 & \left. \left(2\log(e)B_xG_x + G_{xx} \right) + 2AH \left(-2 \left(A_x + 2A\log(e)B_x \right) H_x - 3AH_{xx} \right) + G^4 \left(-2AH^{3/2}A_xH_x + A^{5/2}H_x^2 \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + H^{5/2} \left(A_x^2 - 2AA_{xx} \right) - 2A^{3/2}H \left(A_xH_x + AH_{xx} \right) + G^{9/2} \left(A^2H_x^2 + H^2 \left(A_x^2 - 4A\log(e)A_xB_x - 2A \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left(A_{xx} + 4A\log(e)B_{xx} \right) \right) + 4A^{3/2}H^{3/2} \left(2\log(e)B_xG_x + G_{xx} \right) - 2AH \left(\left(A_x + 2A\log(e)B_x \right) H_x \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + A H_{xx} \right) - AG^2H \left(3AH^{3/2}G_x^2 - 3A^{5/2}H_x^2 + H^{5/2} \left(-3A_x^2 + 2AA_{xx} \right) + A^{3/2}H \left(3G_x^2 + 2AH_{xx} \right) \right) \right. \\
 & \left. - 2A^3\sqrt{G}H^2 \left(-AH_x^2 + 2H^2\log(e) \left(A_xB_x + 2AB_{xx} \right) - 2\sqrt{A}H^{3/2} \left(2\log(e)B_xG_x + G_{xx} \right) + H \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left(\left(A_x + 2A\log(e)B_x \right) H_x + 2AH_{xx} \right) \right) \right), \\
 \Psi_0^0 = & \Phi_0^0 + \lambda\Delta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is use for all coefficients of λ contains in $h\Psi_0^0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\Phi_1^0 = & \frac{1}{\left(4\kappa\sqrt{A}\sqrt{G}H^{3/2}(G^2 + AH)^2\right)} \left(e^{2B} \left(A^{3/2}H^3G_xG_t + 4\sqrt{A}G^{7/2}H^{3/2}\log(e) \left((2H\log(e)B_x \right. \right. \right. \\
 & + H_x) B_t + HB_{tx}) + 4\sqrt{A}G^{3/2}H^2 \left(2\sqrt{A}H\log(e)G_xB_t - \sqrt{H}G_xG_t + \sqrt{A}G_xH_t + H^{3/2}\log(e) \left(\right. \right. \\
 & - (A_x - 2A\log(e)B_x) B_t + AB_{tx}) + \sqrt{A}G^3H \left((4H\log(e)B_x + H_x) G_t + G_xH_t + 2HG_{tx} \right) \\
 & + \sqrt{A}GH^2 \left(-A(H_xG_t + 3G_xH_t) + 2H \left(- (A_x - 2A\log(e)B_x) G_t + AG_{tx} \right) + \sqrt{A}G^4 \left((-4H\log(e)B_x \right. \right. \\
 & + H_x) H_t - 2HH_{tx}) + \sqrt{A}G^2H \left(3AH_xH_t - H(3G_xG_t - 2(A_x - 2A\log(e)B_x) H_t + 2AH_{tx}) \right) \\
 & + \sqrt{G} \left(-2\sqrt{A}H^{7/2}A_xG_t + AH^3A_x(2H\log(e)B_t + H_t) + 2A^{3/2}H^{7/2}(2\log(e)(G_xB_t + B_xG_t) + G_{tx}) \right. \\
 & - A^2H^2 \left(-H_xH_t4H^2\log(e)(2\log(e)B_tB_t + B_{tx}) + 2H(\log(e)H_xB_t + 2\log(e)B_xH_t + H_{tx}) \right) \\
 & - G^{5/2}H \left(-2\sqrt{A}\sqrt{H}\Pi_xG_x + A\Pi_xH_t + 2H^2\log(e) \left((A_x + 4A\log(e)B_x) B_x + 2AB_{tx} \right) \right. \\
 & + 2\sqrt{A}H^{3/2}(2\log(e)G_xB_x - 2\log(e)B_tG_x - G_{tx}) + H(A_xH_x + 2A(3\log(e)H_xB_x \\
 & \left. \left. \left. + 2\log(e)B_xH_t + H_{tx} \right) \right) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is use for all coefficients of λ contains in $h\Psi_1^0$,

$$h\Phi_2^0 = h\Psi_2^0 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\Phi_3^0 = & \frac{1}{\left(8\kappa A^{3/2}G^{3/2}H^{3/2}(G^2 + AH)^2\right)} \left(A^3 \left(-\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{H} \right) H^4G_x^2 \right. \\
 & + 2A^2G^{3/2}H^3G_x(HA_x - AH_x) + 2AG^{7/2}H^2G_x(-HA_x + AH_x) + 4A^{3/2}G^5H^2G_{xx} \\
 & + 2A^2GH^{7/2} \left(G_x(HA_x - AH_x) + A \left(\sqrt{A} - \sqrt{H} \right) \sqrt{HG_{xx}} \right) + 2AG^3H^2 \left(G_x \left(- \left(\sqrt{A} + 2\sqrt{H} \right) HA_x \right. \right. \\
 & - A \left(3\sqrt{A} + 2\sqrt{H} \right) H_x) + A \left(3\sqrt{A} - \sqrt{H} \right) HG_{xx}) + 2A^{3/2}G^6 \left(H_x^2 - 2HH_{xx} \right) \\
 & + AG^2H^2 \left(A^{5/2}H_x^2 + AH^{3/2}(5G_x^2 + 2A_xH_x) + H^{5/2}(-3A_x^2 + 2AA_{xx}) + A^{3/2}H(G_x^2 - 2AH_{xx}) \right) \\
 & + AG^{5/2}H^2 \left(A^2H_x^2 + H^2(-3A_x^2 + 2AA_{xx}) + 2AH(A_xH_x - AH_{xx}) \right) \\
 & - G^{9/2}H \left(A^2H_x^2 + H^2(A_x^2 - 2AA_{xx}) + 2AH(-A_xH_x + AH_{xx}) \right) \\
 & + G^4H \left(4AH^{3/2}A_xH_x + 5A^{5/2}H_x^2 - H^{5/2}(A_x^2 - 2AA_{xx}) \right. \\
 & \left. - 2A^{3/2}H(G_x^2 - A_xH_x + 3AH_{xx}) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$h\Psi_3^0 = h\Psi_3^0 + \lambda\Delta,$$

where Δ is use for all coefficients of λ contains in Ψ_3^0 .

III. Discussion

The solution of the problem of energy-momentum [39] localization is a great challenge for many researchers but still unsolved. A number of scientist in GR and TG interested in the solution of localization of energy-momentum problem and try to provide a unique way out which gives same result for all space time, but they could not provide a distinctive solution. Now in this paper inquire into the work of *ali et al* [31], they showed energy-momentum equivalent prescriptions of Einstein as well as Tolman in GR. They established that energy and momentum complexes formulated by Einstein and Tolman in GR are similar provided any space-time within the framework of GR that extend all space-time configurations, show equality. Additionally, they proved that the general properties of Einstein and Tolman super-potentials vary. Therefore keeping in views these points we will show that Einstein and Moller energy-momentum complexes and super-potentials gives similar results for different space-times in TG. For this specifically we shall take different metrics and verify that these results will be also gives same results of the energy-momentum distributions for both Einstein and Moller energy-momentum distributions in the context of TG, which found in the previous work of *ali et al* in GR. In example 1 to 10 we consider different types metrics which gives same prescriptions for both Einstein and Moller energy-momentum distributions. In example 11 we considered a non-diagonal space-time, which also gives the same energy-momentum distributions for both Einstein and Moller complexes by taking the tensor $\Delta^{i\alpha} = 0$. From the above work we have concluded that Einstein and Moller energy-momentum complexes are same for any space-time in teleparallel gravity theory.

References

- [1]. J. Aguirregabiria, A. Chamorro, K. Virbhadra, Energy and angular momentum of charged rotating black holes, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 28 (1996) 1393–1400.
- [2]. C. Møller, On the localization of the energy of a physical system in the general theory of relativity, *Annals of Physics* 4 (4) (1958) 347–371.
- [3]. L. Butcher, Localizing the energy and momentum of linear gravity, in: *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 484, IOP Publishing, 2014, p. 012011.
- [4]. Einstein, The field equations of gravitation, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.)* 1915 (1915) 844–847.
- [5]. Møller, Further remarks on the localization of the energy in the general theory of relativity, *Annals of Physics* 12 (1) (1961) 118–133.
- [6]. P. G. Bergmann, R. Thomson, Spin and angular momentum in general relativity, *Phys. Rev.* 89 (1953) 400–407. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.89.400.
- [7]. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.89.400>
- [8]. R. C. Tolman, On the use of the energy-momentum principle in general relativity, *Physical Review* 35 (8) (1930) 875.
- [9]. S. V. Babak, L. P. Grishchuk, Energy-momentum tensor for the gravitational field, *Physical Review D* 61 (2) (1999) 024038.
- [10]. M. Sharif, T. Fatima, Energy–momentum distribution: A crucial problem in general relativity, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 20 (18) (2005) 4309–4330.
- [11]. L. D. Landau, *The classical theory of fields*, Vol. 2, Elsevier, 2013.
- [12]. R. C. Tolman, *Relativity, thermodynamics, and cosmology* (1934).
- [13]. Papapetrou, Non-existence of periodically varying non-singular gravitational fields, *Les théories relativistes de la gravitation* (Royaumont, 1959) (1962) 193–198.
- [14]. S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and cosmology: principles and applications of the general theory of relativity* (1972).
- [15]. S. Aygün, H. Baysal, C. Aktaş, I. Yılmaz, P. Sahoo, I. Tarhan, Teleparallel energy–momentum distribution of various black hole and wormhole metrics, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 33 (30) (2018) 1850184.
- [16]. S. Chandrasekhar, V. Ferrari, The einstein pseudo-tensor and the flux integral for perturbed static space-times, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 435 (1895) (1991) 645–657.
- [17]. G. Bergqvist, Quasilocal mass for event horizons, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 9 (7) (1992) 1753.
- [18]. G. Bergqvist, Positivity and definitions of mass (general relativity), *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 9 (8) (1992) 1917.
- [19]. C.-M. Chen, J. M. Nester, Quasilocal quantities for general relativity and other gravity theories, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 16 (4) (1999) 1279.
- [20]. K. Tod, Some examples of penrose’s quasi-local mass construction, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 388 (1795) (1983) 457–477.
- [21]. J. D. Brown, J. W. York Jr, Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action, *Physical Review D* 47 (4) (1993) 1407.
- [22]. C.-C. Chang, J. M. Nester, C.-M. Chen, Pseudotensors and quasilocal energy-momentum, *Physical Review Letters* 83 (10) (1999) 1897.
- [23]. F. Mikhail, M. Wanas, A. Hindawi, E. Lashin, Energy-momentum complex in møller’s tetrad theory of gravitation, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 32 (1993) 1627–1642.
- [24]. G. G. Nashed, Vacuum non singular black hole in tetrad theory of gravitation, arXiv preprint gr-qc/0109017 (2001).
- [25]. C. MOLLER, Tetrad fields and conservation laws in general relativity, *Proceedings of the International School of Physics “ Enrico Fermi ”*, 1962 (1962).
- [26]. T. Vargas, The energy of the universe in teleparallel gravity, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 36 (2004) 1255–1264.
- [27]. F. Cooperstock, Does a dynamical system lose energy by emitting gravitational waves?, *Modern Physics Letters A* 14 (23) (1999) 1531–1537.
- [28]. N. Rosen, The energy of the universe, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 26 (1994) 319–321.
- [29]. L. L. So, T. Vargas, The energy of bianchi type i and ii universes in teleparallel gravity, arXiv preprint gr-qc/0611012 (2006).
- [30]. M. Sharif, M. Jamil Amir, Teleparallel energy–momentum distribution of lewis–papapetrou spacetimes, *Modern Physics Letters A* 22 (06) (2007) 425–433.
- [31]. M. Sharif, M. Azam, Energy–momentum distribution: some examples, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 22 (10) (2007) 1935–1951.
- [32]. T. Ali, S. Khan, A. A. Gouda, A note on einstein and tolman energy-momentum complexes in general relativity, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* 19 (09) (2022) 2250134.
- [33]. A. Einstein, Riemann-geometrie mit aufrechterhaltung des begriffes des fernparallelismus, *Albert Einstein: Akademie-Vorträge: Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 1914–1932* (2005) 316–321.
- [34]. F. C. Whitmore Jr, R. H. Stewart, Miocene mammals and central american seaways: Fauna of the canal zone indicates separation of central and south america during most of the tertiary., *Science* 148 (3667) (1965) 180–185.
- [35]. C. Aktaş, Energy–momentum distributions of ruban universe in general relativity and teleparallel gravity, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 34 (03n04) (2019) 1950011.
- [36]. P. Bell, P. Szekeres, Interacting electromagnetic shock waves in general relativity, *General Relativity and Gravitation* 5 (1974) 275–286.
- [37]. A. Einstein, *Über Gravitationswellen*, Vol. 1, 2006, pp. 135 – 149. doi:10.1002/3527608958.ch12.
- [38]. L. Bianchi, On the spaces of three dimensions that admit a continuous group of movements, *Soc. Ita. Mem. di Mat* 11 (1898) 268.
- [39]. V. Ferrari, J. Ibañez, On the collision of gravitational plane waves: a class of soliton solutions, *General relativity and gravitation* 19 (1987) 405–425.
- [40]. V. De Andrade, L. Guillen, J. Pereira, Gravitational energy-momentum density in teleparallel gravity, *Physical Review Letters* 84 (20) (2000) 4533.