

# **Bureaucratic Culture and Public Service Delivery: An Analysis of Current Practices and Future Directions**

Sintiya Hintalo<sup>1</sup>, Santi Rauf<sup>2</sup>, Yolla Fabiola Dude<sup>3</sup>, Syaiful<sup>4</sup>, Icon Latif<sup>5</sup>, Rusli Isa<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>Student of the Master of Public Administration Program, Gorontalo State University

<sup>6</sup>Lecturer of the Department of Public Administration, Gorontalo State University, Gorontalo, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: Sintiya Hintalo

---

## **Abstract**

*This study evaluates the bureaucratic culture on the effectiveness and efficiency of public services in the Information and Communication Technology Academic Assistance Unit (UPA ICT) at Gorontalo State University. Using a qualitative methodology, the study involves in-depth interviews, direct observations, and document analysis to understand the internal and external dynamics that affect the performance of the bureaucracy in the unit. The results reveal that rigid bureaucratic structures and excessive emphasis on procedural compliance often hinder the ability of units to respond quickly and effectively to the needs and expectations of service users. Furthermore, this study shows that the bureaucratic culture in UPA ICT tends to exacerbate problems such as service delays, lack of innovation, and inability to adapt to changing user needs. Based on these findings, this article recommends the implementation of bureaucratic reforms that aim to increase procedural flexibility and promote a more dynamic and responsive work culture. Proposed reforms include increased employee training, the implementation of new technologies, and the development of policies that support active participation and feedback from service users. It is hoped that the reforms can facilitate a substantial improvement in the quality of services provided, while supporting the achievement of broader educational and information technology goals at universities. This study provides valuable insights for practitioners and policymakers in the higher education sector who seek to optimize bureaucratic functions in the delivery of academic and technological services.*

**Keywords:** Bureaucratic Culture; Effectiveness of Public Services; Bureaucratic Reform; Academic Services

---

Date of Submission: 05-12-2024

Date of acceptance: 17-12-2024

---

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Bureaucracy in the public service is an administrative system designed to manage and implement government policies and provide services to the community. Bureaucratic culture and behavior play an important role in determining the effectiveness and efficiency of public services. The norms, values, and practices that develop in bureaucratic structures are often influenced by historical, traditional, and policy factors that exist in government organizations.

According to Weber (1978) which introduced the concept of modern bureaucracy, bureaucracy is the most efficient organizational system thanks to its clear hierarchical structure, well-defined rules and procedures, and high specialization of tasks [1]. These principles, designed to strengthen order and consistency in government operations, define the framework within which public services are delivered.

However, bureaucratic practices often differ significantly from Weber's ideals. Research by [2] about "street-level bureaucracy" shows that public service employees, in practice, often have to adapt standard procedures to address unforeseen problems, pointing to the gap between bureaucratic theory and its operational realities. Study by [3] Organizational adaptation confirms that rigid bureaucratic structures can hinder the ability to adapt to changing environments, which in turn can reduce the effectiveness of public services. This shows the importance of flexibility in bureaucratic structure and practices to ensure responsiveness and adaptability of effective services. Further [4] In his research criticizes the "focus on rules" in bureaucracy, which further explores how bureaucracy can produce a "bureaucratic personality," in which employees become more focused on rules than on the goals of the service itself. This phenomenon can reduce the ability of public services to respond effectively to the needs of the community.

This study aims to evaluate how the bureaucratic culture in UPA ICT Gorontalo State University affects the delivery of public services. Through a qualitative methodology that includes in-depth interviews and document analysis, this study seeks to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the internal and external dynamics that

affect bureaucratic performance. This is as stated by [5] who emphasizes the importance of involving the community in the process of public service delivery to increase effectiveness and efficiency. The application of "co-production" principles in the delivery of public services can be the key to modernizing the bureaucracy and making it more responsive to the needs of service users. By understanding the influence of bureaucratic culture on public services in UPA ICT, this study aims to provide practical recommendations for bureaucratic reform. The results are expected to help increase service user satisfaction and operational efficiency at UPA ICT and similar institutions.

This study is important because it can provide new insights into how changes in organizational culture and bureaucratic practices can positively affect public service delivery. Aligning bureaucratic practices with the changing needs of society is the key to creating a more dynamic and sustainable public service. A deep understanding of how bureaucratic culture affects public service delivery in government agencies is essential. By focusing on UPA ICT of Gorontalo State University, this study seeks to identify and map the key factors that affect the effectiveness and efficiency of bureaucratic services.

Understanding the challenges and opportunities in the bureaucratic culture is essential to designing strategies that will improve the quality of service. By focusing on reform and innovation, institutions can better respond to the needs and expectations of society. The adoption of modern technology and methods in bureaucracy can also play an important role in speeding up the process and increasing transparency. This not only improves efficiency but also strengthens public trust in government institutions. Thus, this study not only tries to describe the current condition of the bureaucratic culture in UPA ICT but also seeks to provide practical guidance for future improvements in public service delivery.

## **II. LITERATUR REVIEW**

### **2.1 Bureaucratic Concept**

Bureaucracy has long been a cornerstone in public administration theory, identified as a system that emphasizes on formal structures and prescribed procedures to achieve efficiency and order. However, in the last decade, this view has evolved significantly. According to modern bureaucratic theory, as explained by Alford and Hughes, the focus has shifted from order and efficiency to more adaptability and responsiveness [6]. Recent research by Sarker highlights that in today's digital era, bureaucracies must incorporate information technology to speed up the process and make it more transparent and accountable to the public [7]. This reflects the idea that bureaucracy must not only be efficient but also adaptive to rapid changes in technology and societal needs. In the context of adaptation, Osborne discusses the concept of "New Public Governance," which proposes a framework in which bureaucracy focuses more on collaboration between different sectors rather than just adherence to traditional hierarchies [8]. This marks a shift from bureaucracy as a rigid structure to a more dynamic and integrated entity.

Studies by Andrews and Van de Walle show that public satisfaction with bureaucracy increases when it is transparent and responsive to input from citizens [9]. This shows that public participation in the bureaucratic process is becoming increasingly important in strengthening its legitimacy and effectiveness. Pollitt and Bouckaert in their work explore how bureaucratic practices in various countries are adapting to the pressure to be more efficient and responsive in providing public services under tight fiscal conditions [10]. They emphasized the importance of innovation in bureaucratic processes to face increasingly complex global challenges.

Hood and Dixon in their research review classical bureaucratic theories in the context of contemporary challenges, pointing out that many classical principles are still relevant but need to be reinterpreted to fit the current context [11]. They argue that modern bureaucracy needs flexibility in its approach to remain effective. Furthermore, Dunleavy's analysis of "digital bureaucracy" highlights how digitalization has changed the face of bureaucracy, allowing for better process automation and data integration, which in turn improves operational responsiveness and efficiency [12]. While in another part of Gil-Garcia's research, the interaction between information technology and organizational structure in bureaucracy shows that IT adoption often triggers changes in the way bureaucracies operate, challenging traditional norms and promoting new, more adaptive and inclusive practices [13].

Stoker stated that the current bureaucracy faces the challenge of becoming more inclusive and service-oriented rather than just following administrative procedures [14]. This new approach requires a rethinking of the role and function of bureaucracy in a society that demands transparency and involvement in policymaking. Bouckaert and Halligan in their work illustrate the importance of a deep understanding of local contexts and environmental variables in formulating effective bureaucratic practices [15]. They emphasized that there is no one-size-fits-all bureaucracy, and adaptation to local conditions is key. Similarly, a study conducted by Meyer-Sahling and Mikkelsen shows that training and capacity building for bureaucrats are very important in improving bureaucratic performance [16]. This shows that investment in human resources is still a critical aspect of effective bureaucratic development.

In this context, Christensen and Lægveid examine how bureaucracy can manage changes aimed at reform without disrupting the stability and integrity of the existing system [17]. They found that a well-measured and well-considered approach can facilitate a smoother transition. Furthermore, Tummers in his study suggests that resistance to change in bureaucracy often stems from uncertainty and fear of negative impacts on work [18]. This underscores the importance of effective communication and change management in bureaucracy. The same thing is stated by Gains in his work showing that an effective bureaucracy requires a balance between control and empowerment [19]. Bureaucracy must be able to enforce rules while at the same time providing room for innovation and adaptation. Basically, from the various concepts described above, it can be concluded that, as discussed by Raudla, bureaucratic theory and practice continue to develop in line with the demands of the times [20]. To remain relevant, the bureaucracy must continuously innovate and adapt, not only to improve efficiency but also to strengthen public legitimacy and trust.

## **2.2 Bureaucratic Culture**

Bureaucratic culture is a set of values, norms, and behaviors embraced in government organizations that shape the way employees interact and make decisions. According to [21] bureaucratic culture is the norms, values, and behaviors that define the operation of an organization or institution. The basic concept of bureaucratic culture is essential for organizational management, especially in the public and corporate sectors. This culture affects the efficiency, effectiveness, and way in which public services are delivered. Tendler points out that a positive bureaucratic culture can increase employee motivation and job satisfaction, which in turn increases productivity and service to the public [22]. Bureaucratic culture also includes elements of leadership and communication in the organization. According to Hartley, effective leadership in the bureaucracy can influence the change of organizational culture in a more innovative and responsive direction [23]. It emphasizes the important role that leaders play in shaping the culture of the organization.

Reluctance to change is a common feature of many bureaucratic organizations, often resulting in inefficiencies and resistance to innovation. The study by O'Flynn explores how a conservative bureaucratic culture can hinder the adoption of new, more efficient practices [24]. This research suggests the importance of organizational learning and adaptability in bureaucratic culture. The integration of technology in bureaucracy offers the potential for bureaucratic cultural transformation. Mergel et al. discuss how the use of information technology in bureaucracy has influenced culture by facilitating better communication and more transparent processes [25]. This shows how technology can be a catalyst for cultural change. However, the adoption of technology also brings its own challenges. Bannister and Connolly point out that the changes brought about by digitalization require significant cultural adaptation in the bureaucracy, including training and support for employees [26]. They emphasized the importance of carefully managing cultural change to ensure a successful transition.

A study by Van der Voet evaluated the implementation of cultural change in bureaucracy and found that successful change often depends on effective communication and involving employees in the change process [27]. This article shows the importance of managing the human element of an organization's culture when planning and executing change. The influence of bureaucratic culture on public policy is also significant. Heims et al. examined how norms and values in bureaucracy affect policy formulation and implementation [28]. They found that a collaborative bureaucratic culture can improve policy effectiveness by promoting better cooperation and understanding between various agencies.

Goyal and Sergi show that the success of bureaucratic reform often depends on the ability to change the organizational culture [29]. They emphasized that without profound cultural change, reforms often fail to achieve their desired goals. Bureaucratic culture also affects public perception of government. According to a study by Meier and O'Toole, negative perceptions of bureaucracy are often rooted in a culture of bureaucracy that is not transparent and unresponsive [30]. They suggest that a more open and service-oriented culture can help improve the image of the bureaucracy. In the study of bureaucratic culture, Hyden revealed that a strong bureaucratic culture can act as a barrier to corruption and maladministration [31]. Hyden points out that the values of integrity and accountability instilled in the bureaucratic culture are key to building a clean and efficient administration.

## **III. METHOD**

The research method used in this study is a type of descriptive research with qualitative analysis. Data collection was carried out using (three) methods, namely: interviews, observations, and docmention. Furthermore, the stages of data analysis are carried out by Data Reduction, Data Display, Conclusions or verification.

## **IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Bureaucratic culture in public service refers to certain ways in which government organizations or public institutions operate. It includes the norms, values, and practices that guide interactions, decisions, and procedures

in the delivery of services to the community. This culture is formed from the history, structure, and internal dynamics of the organization, and influences how services are provided and managed. Bureaucratic culture in public service refers to the values, norms, and practices that shape the way work in government organizations or public institutions. This includes:

#### **4.1 Hierarchical Structure**

One of the main characteristics of bureaucratic culture is a clear hierarchical structure. In the bureaucracy, there is a well-defined division of duties and responsibilities at each level. This hierarchy is designed to create order and ensure that every aspect of an organization's operations is controlled by a party with the appropriate authority. This structure helps in the division of labor and regulates the flow of information, but it can also result in a slow decision-making process and a lack of flexibility.

#### **4.2 Compliance with Rules and Procedures**

Bureaucratic culture places a strong emphasis on adherence to rules and procedures. Standard procedures, forms, and administrative documents become an integral part of this system. Compliance with these rules aims to ensure that all actions are taken in a consistent and fair manner. While this can reduce the risk of errors and deviations, a high reliance on rules can stifle innovation and make bureaucracies less responsive to changing needs. The service process is often formal, with various procedures and administrative requirements that must be met. This helps maintain standards and consistency in service, but it can also make the process feel rigid and inflexible. This formality also affects the interaction between employees and the community, often adding to the impression of distance and communication barriers.

#### **4.3 Orientasi Pada Stabilitas dan Keamanan**

Budaya birokrasi sering kali mengedepankan stabilitas dan keamanan. Organisasi birokrasi cenderung menjaga metode dan struktur yang ada untuk menghindari ketidakpastian dan risiko. Pendekatan ini memastikan bahwa pelayanan tetap beroperasi dengan cara yang terencana dan dapat diprediksi. Namun, orientasi ini juga dapat menyebabkan resistensi terhadap perubahan dan inovasi, yang mungkin diperlukan untuk memenuhi tuntutan masyarakat yang berkembang.

#### **4.4 Transparency and Accountability**

Transparency and accountability are very important values in bureaucratic culture. Bureaucracy usually has a mechanism that ensures that decisions and operational processes can be accounted for to the public. It includes performance reporting, audits, and oversight processes designed to ensure that public services are delivered fairly and efficiently. Transparency helps build public trust, but it can sometimes also add to the administrative burden required to meet accountability requirements.

Bureaucratic culture often experiences resistance to change. Because bureaucracy relies on a stable structure and strict procedures, change is often perceived as a threat to the order and consistency that already exists. This can hinder the adoption of innovations and improvements that may be needed to improve public services. Despite efforts to introduce reforms and improvements, their implementation often encounters significant cultural and structural barriers.

Although bureaucratic culture tends to be conservative, there is a push for innovation and adaptation in some contexts. Many bureaucracies today are seeking to introduce new technologies, more flexible approaches, and new ways of delivering services to meet the changing demands of society. These innovations aim to increase efficiency, reduce excessive bureaucracy, and improve the user experience. However, this process often requires significant cultural adjustments and support from the entire organization.

Organizational culture outside the bureaucracy can also affect the way public services work. For example, organizational values such as openness, collaboration, and customer-orientation can affect how the bureaucracy operates. Organizations that have a culture that supports participation and engagement tend to be better able to adapt to change and improve the quality of service. Bureaucratic culture faces various challenges in the context of public services. Some of the key challenges include difficulties in adapting to change, problems in communication, and the risk of rigid regulations. However, there are also opportunities for improvement, such as improving training for employees, leveraging technology to speed up processes, and fostering a culture that is more responsive to the needs of society.

The bureaucratic culture in the public service, although designed to ensure order and consistency, has a number of shortcomings that can affect the effectiveness of the service. Here are some of the main drawbacks:

1. **Rigidity and Lack of Flexibility:** Rigid procedures and strict rules often make bureaucracies less responsive to changing societal needs. This can lead to delays in responding to emergency situations or special needs that are not covered by standard procedures.

2. Excessive Bureaucracy: Sometimes, excessive procedures and documentation can slow down the service process, making it feel slow and inefficient. Employees must follow many steps that may not always be relevant to the needs of the individual being served.
3. Innovation Limitations: Bureaucratic cultures are often resistant to change and innovation. Employees may be reluctant to try new methods or the latest technology due to concerns about risks or disruption to existing routines.
4. Lack of Empathy and Responsiveness: Adherence to procedures can result in a lack of attention to individual needs or specific situations. Employees can focus more on following the rules rather than providing services that are truly in accordance with the needs of the community
5. Rigid Communication: High formality in communication can lead to a lack of informal interaction that may be more effective in resolving problems or explaining information. Too formal communication processes can make interactions feel impersonal and limit more open dialogue
6. Delayed Decision Making: Complex hierarchical structures often lead to slow decision-making, as each decision must pass through several levels of authority. This can hinder quick response to situations that require immediate decisions.
7. Resistance to Change: A culture that prioritizes stability and routine can hinder the bureaucracy's ability to adapt to technological developments or changes in society. Resistance to these changes can make the bureaucracy less relevant and efficient in the modern context.
8. Community Dissatisfaction: Weakness in providing fast and responsive services can lead to dissatisfaction among the community. The complicated and lengthy process often leaves people feeling frustrated and underappreciated.

Overall, while bureaucratic culture has the goal of creating an orderly and fair system, these shortcomings point to the need for reforms and adjustments to improve efficiency and responsiveness in public services.

## V. CONCLUSION

Bureaucratic culture in public services has strengths and weaknesses that affect each other. A bureaucratic culture, with its hierarchical structure, high formality, and adherence to procedures, is designed to ensure order, fairness, and accountability. However, this rigidity and formality can often lead to inflexibility, slow processes, lack of empathy, and resistance to change. To improve the effectiveness of public services, there needs to be reforms that focus on simplifying procedures, increasing flexibility, and developing communication skills and innovation. Reducing excessive bureaucracy, bringing decision-making closer, and utilizing technology can speed up services and increase community satisfaction. With these adjustments and improvements, the culture and behavior of the bureaucracy can better respond to the needs of the community and provide more efficient and responsive services.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. De Schweinitz, "Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretative Sociology," *J Econ Hist*, vol. 39, pp. 834–835, 1979, [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:145065260>
- [2] M. Lipsky, *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public services*. 2010. doi: 10.2307/2392554.
- [3] R. H. Hall, "Organizational adaptation and precarious values: A case study," *American Sociological Review*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 911-927, 1968.
- [4] R. K. Merton, *Social Theory and Social Structure*. New York: Free Press, 1957.
- [5] E. Ostrom, *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [6] J. Alford and O. Hughes, "Public Value Pragmatism as the Next Phase of Public Management," *American Review of Public Administration*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 174-187, 2018.
- [7] S. Sarker, "The Role of Information and Communication Technology in Modern Bureaucracy," *Government Information Quarterly*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 349-356, 2012.
- [8] S. P. Osborne, "The New Public Governance? Emerging Perspectives on the Theory and Practice of Public Governance," London: Routledge, 2010.
- [9] R. Andrews and S. Van de Walle, "New Public Management and Citizens' Perceptions of Local Service Efficiency, Responsiveness, Equity and Effectiveness," *Public Management Review*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 762-783, 2013.
- [10] C. Pollitt and G. Bouckaert, "Public Management Reform: A Comparative Analysis - Into The Age of Austerity," Oxford University Press, 2017.
- [11] C. Hood and R. Dixon, "A Government That Worked Better and Cost Less?" Oxford University Press, 2015.
- [12] P. Dunleavy, "Digital Era Governance: IT Corporations, the State, and e-Government," Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [13] J. R. Gil-Garcia, "Enacting Electronic Government Success: An Integrative Study of Government-wide Websites, Organizational Capabilities, and Institutions," Springer, 2012.
- [14] G. Stoker, "Why Politics Matters: Making Democracy Work," Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.
- [15] G. Bouckaert and J. Halligan, "Managing Performance: International Comparisons," Routledge, 2013.
- [16] J. H. Meyer-Sahling and K. S. Mikkelsen, "Civil Service Laws, Merit, Politicization, and Corruption: The Perspective of Public Officials from Five East European Countries," *Public Administration*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 1105-1123, 2016.

- [17] T. Christensen and P. Lægreid, "Transcending New Public Management: The Transformation of Public Sector Reforms," Ashgate, 2014.
- [18] L. Tummers, "Policy Alienation and the Power of Professionals: Confronting New Policies," Edward Elgar Publishing, 2013.
- [19] F. Gains, "Administrative Traditions: Modernity, Legitimacy and the Public Administration," *Public Administration*, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 647-662, 2013.
- [20] R. Raudla, "Governing Paradoxes of Restorative Government: A Comparative Analysis of Governance Challenges and Administrative Traditions," *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 713-732, 2015.
- [21] Aneta, Yanti; Isa Rusli; Usulu, Elvira, M.; Van, Gobel, Lisda. *Budaya Dan Perilaku Birokrasi: Menyelami Dimensi-Dimensi Organisasi Publik*. PT. Media Penerbit Indonesia. Medan. 2024
- [22] J. Tendler, "Good Government in the Tropics," The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2014.
- [23] J. Hartley, "Innovation in Governance and Public Services: Past and Present," *Public Money & Management*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 27-34, 2015.
- [24] J. O'Flynn, "From New Public Management to Public Value: Paradigmatic Change and Managerial Implications," *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 353-366, 2007.
- [25] I. Mergel et al., "The Implementation and Impact of ICT Initiatives in Government," *Government Information Quarterly*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 470-481, 2015.
- [26] F. Bannister and R. Connolly, "The Great Theory Hunt: Does e-Government Really Have a Problem?" *Government Information Quarterly*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1-11, 2013.
- [27] J. Van der Voet, "The Effectiveness and Specificity of Change Management in a Public Organization: Transformational Leadership and a Bureaucratic Organizational Structure," *European Management Journal*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 373-382, 2014.
- [28] E. M. Heims et al., "Collaborative Governance and Public Innovation in Northern Europe," *Administration & Society*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 749-776, 2017.
- [29] K. A. Goyal and B. S. Sergi, "Governance and Public Management: Strategic Foundations for Volatile Times," Routledge, 2016.
- [30] K. J. Meier and L. J. O'Toole, "Bureaucracy in a Democratic State: A Governance Perspective," Johns Hopkins University Press, 2006.
- [31] G. Hyden, "Governance and Public Administration," in M. Bevir (Ed.), "The SAGE Handbook of Governance," SAGE, 2011.