

Analysis of Personal Electrical Safety of Low-Voltage Systems from Electrical Hazards

Dr. K. VELUSAMY¹, R. RAVISHANKAR², MARICHAMY K³
Professor¹, Assistant Professor², PG Student³
Annai Mathammal Sheela Engineering College, Namakkal

ABSTRACT: *Electrical safety may be perceived only as a list of prudent actions to or not to undertake in the presence of energized objects, constituting the defense against direct contact with live parts. However, the safety of persons also depends on their exposure to indirect contact, that is, contact with parts normally not in tension, but likely to become energized due to faults. Thus, the attitude toward live parts is not the only key in preventing accidents. This project, prompted by this concept, is an attempt, from the academic point of view, to bridge the existing gap between life-safety electrical issues in low-voltage systems (i.e., not exceeding 1 kV) and their proper comprehension and design solution, in light of applicable IEC and IEEE standards. We assume, in fact, that we can analytically quantify the hazards caused by indirect contact, thereby promoting a proper design for the electrical system and minimizing the related risk. Properly provides an explanation of the fault-loops in different types of grounding systems (i.e., TT, TN, and IT) and of the faults occurring on both sides of the supply (i.e., the primary and secondary of substation transformers). The crucial role played by the state of the neutral is deeply examined, thereby allowing the comprehension of the reasons behind the methodologies of protection against electric shock, which are required by current standards and codes. Also, we will present about safe work practices, responsible, and then about what makes us act as we do.*

Keywords: *PTW, CP, NESC, ESFI.*

Date of Submission: 19-09-2023

Date of acceptance: 03-10-2023

I. INTRODUCTION:

Safe access points must be incorporated into industrial equipment design to enable maintenance or operational intervention. Although the design of mechanical machines is primarily where the overall safety aspects of industrial equipment design efforts are found, frequently the driving forces that must be taken into account are either directly or indirectly connected to the control of electrical energy. Human factors (easy of use) and equipment reliability are aspects to be taken into account when choosing safe intervention techniques. "Human factors" typically predominate the situations that have the potential to result in operator damage in risk analyses for tasks that call for some sort of machine intervention. Personal responsibility in taking consistent, straightforward, and unambiguous steps to eliminate or guard against the met hazard is one of the situations that promote safe behaviors. The removal of motive electrical power from a machine prior to operator intervention is a process that includes actions to stop the machine, remove the motive power, establish personal control of the device that had been used to remove the motive power, and verify that the motive power has been removed. An update on the suggested solutions will be provided along with a review of some of the issues that can arise when operating and maintaining an industrial equipment that uses electrical motive energy. Electrical safety-related isolation on industrial machines with many access points, a first paper, presented the issue and some initial suggestions for remedies [1]. In this paper discussed standard offers ways to better protect workers as they operate or maintain machinery that is situated in an electrolytic cell line working zone [2]. This essay examines relevant laws, technical documentation, risk management, available resources, emergency planning, review and approval, and other fundamentals of creating a successful changeover operation [3]. In this paper, A new workplace safety awareness program has been created by the Electrical Safety Foundation International (ESFI) to help facility managers and safety professionals create or enhance electrical safety programs [4]. In this paper discussed results of electrical safety audits provide quantifiable data and proof on the performance of electrical safety, which is essential for ongoing development[5]. In this paper discussed the IEEE Electrical Safety Workshop (IEEE ESW) is a multidisciplinary global platform for innovative thinking regarding the barriers preventing advancements in electrical safety [6]. This paper offers a way forward for comparing applicable standards and laws in North America (NFPA70E, CSAZ462, ANSI/IEEE C2), Europe (EN 50110), Brazil (NR 10), and China (DL 408), as well as benchmarking against the extensive hazard mitigation strategies found in ANSI Z10 Occupational Safety and Health Management Systems, which is harmonized with other safety management systems standards acknowledged globally [7].

II. Transmission line safety

Based on the wire's ultimate tensile strength, stay wires, guard wires, and bearer wires must have a minimum factor of safety of 2.5. Based on their ultimate tensile strength, conductors must have a minimum safety factor of 2. The two most popular transmission line protection techniques are differential and distance relays. Both have historically protected transmission cables using fundamental-frequency voltages and/or currents. Using the voltage and current that are applied to the relay, a distance relay calculates the impedance of a line. The current increases rapidly and the voltage decreases significantly when a fault in a line occurs.

➤ **NDPL system:**

Implemented in NDPL: a power distribution utility- to establish standard working procedures that will support a culture of safe working among its employees when they are performing any work on electrical equipment or systems. To coordinate and complete the work on the apparatus/system, the NDPL system needs professionals from "Power System Control (PSC)," "Distribution, Network, Grid Maintenance," and "Projects".

➤ **Safety from the system:**

A thorough work instruction must be provided in order to erect poles in compliance with this Live Working Procedure. This instruction must identify the work area by including a detailed map or plan that shows the exact location of the new pole(s) that are to be installed as well as the pole's position. The new pole's height and kind of construction must also be indicated.

➤ **IE rule:**

IE Rule 3: Describes the level of the person who is to be permitted and the sort of installation where he or she is intended to work.

IE Rule 36: Describes the safety precautions and necessary authorizations for construction on "Electric Supply Lines and Related Facilities."

➤ **PTW system:**

PTW confirm and establish that it is safe to carry out the assigned task. All work is carried out as safely as possible thanks to PTW systems. The working environment and protocol for carrying out High Risk Activities safely are laid forth in the PTW system.

➤ **Safety tagging system:**

The safety tagging system aims to meet the following criteria in the working life of the staff at NDPL: Safety of the staff and the general public; Safety of equipment and property; Identification of abnormal situations in the circuit of the NDPL network.

➤ **DNoP tag :**

The circuit/equipment outage for which the PTW was collected from Power System Control is linked to DNoP tags. For the circuit/equipment, DNoP will have the same number as the PTW.

➤ **Fire types :**

Class A: Solid combustible items that are not metals, such as wood, paper, cloth, rubbish, and plastics. Class B flammable substances, including acetone, acetone, and gasoline any non-metal that is a liquid or is burning. Propane, butane, and acetylene are all Class C flammable gases. Metals in Class D, including potassium, sodium, aluminum, and magnesium.

➤ **Accident reports, records:**

The specifics of a car collision are documented in an accident report. When submitting a claim to an insurance provider, crash reports are a crucial component. A copy of the report could be used to establish liability for the collision and determine who would foot the bill for any required repairs, property damage, or medical expenses.

➤ **Testing structures for integrity prior:**

An approach to determine if a structure is prepared to resist operating circumstances safely and reliably for the duration of its anticipated lifetime is known as structural integrity assessment.

II. SAFETY-DISCONNECT-SYSTEM DEVICE

Each disconnect circuit has an electrically actuatable switching element that is connected to a main box that serves as the system's control center for the safety disconnect system and, via this box, to a power source. The safety circuits are constructed as latching circuits, have operational tripping elements at the area disconnect level and system disconnect level, and are passed through the main box where they can optionally be linked to one another by means of a switching matrix. As a result, groups of associated machines are disconnected if one of the operational tripping elements is activated.

➤ **OSHA Interpretation letter:**

An interpretation letter "provides additional guidance that clarifies how to apply to a particular working circumstance a policy or procedure distributed through the Code of Federal Regulations or the OSHA directive system. [Interpretation letters] may not establish or broaden OSHA policy, interpret the OSHA Act, or otherwise.

➤ **SIEM technology:**

One security management system combines security event management (SEM) and security information management (SIM). SIEM technology gathers event log data from many sources, analyzes it in real-time to spot activity that differs from the usual, and then takes the necessary action.

III. CONCLUSION

The components of the control circuit are chosen to adhere to predetermined construction and performance standards. Details of these specifications and the procedures for their evaluation are included in the proposed UL listing standard. Electrocutation is the most serious risk that the SIE for linked equipment circuits mitigates; as a result, the SIE device's overall design criteria would be for it to achieve the requisite behavior of safety-related parts to category 4. The following must be accomplished in order for the internal circuits and parts of the SIE device to achieve the safety performance of category 4. Loss of the safety function is not caused by a single malfunction in any of the safety-related components. The single problem is discovered immediately, at machine startup, or at the conclusion of a machine operation cycle, or just before the next demand on the safety functions. The loss of the safety function must not result from an accumulation of defects if this detection is not achievable. It must be considered that further faults will arise if some defects cannot be detected due to technological limitations or circuit engineering. The loss of the safety function is not permitted in this scenario due to the buildup of errors. The usage of safety performance categories is now practiced since the current designs for the SIE include circuits and equipment that can be evaluated in a deterministic manner. Probabilistic approaches would be necessary if foreseeable systems evolve beyond the effectiveness of deterministic evaluation.

REFERENCES

- [1]. EC, Low-voltage electrical installations, part 4-41: Protection for safety—Protection against electric shock, 5th ed. 2015
- [2]. T. Krause, Leading with safety. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2015
- [3]. R. Chapman, Simple tools and techniques for enterprise risk management. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 2016
- [4]. National electrical safety code (ANSI/IEEE C2). New York, NY: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 2017
- [5]. National electrical code (ANSI/NFPA 70). Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association, 2008
- [6]. NFPA 70E, Standard for electrical safety in the workplace. Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association, 2009
- [7]. R. Lee, —The other electrical hazard: Electric arc blast burns!, IEEE Transactions, Vol. IA-18, No. 3, 1982 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.1982.4504068>
- [8]. J. Zannetti, —Air pollution!, First international conference on air pollution. Southampton: Computational Mechanics Publication, pp. 373 - 380, 1993

BIOGRAPH

Dr.K.VELUSAMY , M.E., Ph.D.,

Received the B.Engineering Degree from Thigarajar College of Engg, Madurai in 1988. The M.E Degree from Jayaram college of Engg



and Technology, Tiruchirappalli in 2009. The Ph.D Degree Anna University , Chennai in 2018. He has been working as Professor in Annai Mathammal Sheela Engineering College, Erumapatty, Tamilnadu, India. His research interest is manufacturing technology.

Email: velusamy40nkl@gmail.com

Mr. R.RAVISHANKAR

Received the B.Engineering Degree from M Kumarasamy College of College of Engg, Namakkal in 2010. The M.E Degree from Jayaram college of Engg and Tech, Trichy



in 2012. He has been working as an Assistant professor in Annai Mathammal Sheela Engineering College, Erumapatty, Tamilnadu, India. His research interest includes manufacturing technology.

Email: ravins86@yahoo.com

Mr. MARICHAMY K

He is pursuing his M.E degree
in ISE at Annai
Mathaammal Sheela Engg
College, Erumapatty in
2023. He obtained his
B.E degree from SNS



College of Technology, Coimbatore in 2017. His research interests include "ANALYSIS OF PERSONAL ELECTRICAL SAFETY OF LOW-VOLTAGE SYSTEMS FROM ELECTRICAL HAZARDS".

Email- kmarichamy96@gmail.com