

Some Integrals Involving H-function Of One – Variable

^{1.} Sulakshana Bajaj

Associate Professor, Deptt. Of Mathematics,
 Kautilya College, Kota
 bajaj.s2017@gmail.com

^{2.} Amit Kumar Jain

Assistant Professor, Deptt. Of Mathematics,
 Maa Bharti P.G. College, Kota
 amitkumarjain0721@gmail.com

^{3.} Ajay Gupta

Assistant Professor, Deptt. Of Mathematics,
 Gurukul Institute of Engg. & Tech., Kota
 ajayrdgupta@gmail.com

Abstract :-

This paper contains ten integrals involving H-function of one variable with proper conditions of validity. The special cases for G-functions have also been obtained.

MSC 2020 :- 33B15, 33Cxx, 33C60.

Keywords : Hypergeometric function, Gamma function, G & H-function, Wright's function.

Date of Submission: 16-05-2023

Date of acceptance: 30-05-2023

1.1 Introduction & Preliminaries

Euler's gamma function (1729), is denoted and defined as follows :

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt, \text{ provided } \operatorname{Re}(x) > 0 \quad \dots(1.1.1)$$

The Contour integral form of ${}_2F_1 [z]$ is as follows :

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\Gamma(a+s)\Gamma(b+s)\Gamma(-s)(-z)^s}{\Gamma(c+s)} ds \quad \dots(1.1.2)$$

The path L is a contour runs from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$. [1, p.49]. The contour integral form of ${}_pF_q$ is as follows:

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + s) \Gamma(-s) (-z)^s \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + s) \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j)} ds \quad \dots(1.1.3)$$

L is a contour runs from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$, no a_j ($j = 1, \dots, p$) is zero or a negative integer.

If $p = q + 1$, RHS of above equation is an analytic function of z in the cut plane $|\arg(-z)| < \pi$.

If $p = q$, RHS of above equation is an analytic function of z in the open half plane $|\arg(-z)| < 1/2 \pi$ i.e. in $\operatorname{Re}(z) < 0$

The contour integral form of Wright's generalized hyper geometric function is defined as follows:-

$${}_p\Psi_q(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_D \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + \alpha_j s) \Gamma(-s) (-z)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + \beta_j s)} ds \quad \dots(1.1.4),$$

where D is a contour in the complex s-plane which runs from $s = \sigma - i\infty$ to $s = \sigma + i\infty$ (σ is an arbitrary real number) so that the points $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ resp. lie to the right of D.

${}_p\Psi_q$ makes sense and defines an analytic function of z if

- (i) $\mu > 0$ and $z \neq 0$
- (ii) $\mu = 0, 0 < |z| < \xi^{-1}$

If $\mu = 0$, then ${}_p\Psi_q(z)$ can be continued analytically into the sector $|\arg(-z)| < \pi$ by means of (1.1.4). ... (1.1.5)

The E-function was further generalized by C.S. Meijer (1941, 46) [7,8] which became popular as G-function. It is defined in the following manner :

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - s)} x^s ds \quad \dots(1.1.6)$$

where an empty product is interpreted as unity; $0 \leq m \leq q, 0 \leq n \leq p$ and parameters are so chosen that no pole of $\Gamma(b_j - s), j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ coincides with any pole of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + s), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

There are three different paths ‘L’ of integration in (1.1.6) ;

- (1) the contour ‘L’ runs from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ so that all the poles of $\Gamma(b_j - s); j = 1(1)m$ are to the right and all the poles of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + s); j = 1(1)n$ to the left of ‘L’. The integral converges if $p + q < 2(m + n)$ and $|\arg(x)| < (m + n - p/2 - q/2)\pi$.
- (2) the contour ‘L’ is a loop starting and ending at $+\infty$ and encircling all the poles of $\Gamma(b_j - s); j = 1(1)m$ once in the negative direction but none of the poles of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + s); j = 1(1)n$. The integral converges if $q \geq 1$ and either $p < q$ or $p = q$ & $|x| < 1$.
- (3) the contour ‘L’ is a loop starting and ending at $-\infty$ and encircling all the poles of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + s); j = 1(1)n$ once in the positive direction but none of the poles of $\Gamma(b_j - s); j = 1(1)m$. The integral converges if $p \geq 1$ and either $p > q$ or $p = q$ and $|x| > 1$.

The G-function is an analytic function of x , it is symmetric in the parameters a_1, \dots, a_n likewise in a_{n+1}, \dots, a_p , in b_1, \dots, b_m and b_{m+1}, \dots, b_q .

The H-function is defined and represented by means of the following Mellin-Barnes type of contour integrals:

$$H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1,p} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \psi(s) x^s ds \quad \dots(1.1.7),$$

where

$$(a) \quad \psi(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j s)} \quad \dots(1.1.8),$$

- (b) m, n, p and q are non negative integers satisfying $0 \leq n \leq p, 0 \leq m \leq q$
- (c) $i = \sqrt{-1}, x \neq 0$ is a complex variable.
- (d) L is a straight line parallel to the imaginary axis which runs from $-\infty + i\infty$ with indentations, if necessary, to ensure that the points

$$s = \frac{a_j - \lambda - 1}{\alpha_j}; (j = 1, \dots, n; \lambda = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

which are the poles of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s) \forall j = 1, \dots, n$ lie to the left of L and the points

$$s = \frac{b_h + \nu}{\beta_h}; (h = 1, \dots, m; \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

which are the poles of $\Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \forall j = 1, \dots, m$ lie to the right of L . Therefore $\alpha_j(b_h + \nu) \neq \beta_h(a_j - \lambda - 1); j = 1, \dots, n; h = 1, \dots, m; \lambda, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$... (1.1.9)

The contour 'L' exists on account of (1.1.9).

(e) a_j ($j = 1, \dots, p$) and b_j ($j = 1, \dots, q$) are complex numbers and the parameters α_j ($j = 1, \dots, p$) and β_j ($j = 1, \dots, q$) are positive real numbers.

(f) $((a_p, \alpha_p)) \equiv (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \equiv (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1,p}$

(g) The H-function is an analytic function of x if the following conditions are true :

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad x \neq 0, \xi > 0, |\arg x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi \\ \quad \text{where } \xi = \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \xi = 0 \text{ and } 0 < |x| < \mu^{-1} \\ \quad \text{where } \mu = \prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)^{\alpha_j} \prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)^{-\beta_j} \end{array} \right\} \dots (1.1.10)$$

Due to the occurrence of the factor x^s in the integrand of (1.1.7) it is, in general, multiple-valued, but it is one-valued on the Riemann surface of $\log x$.

The H-function of one variable defined by (1.1.7) will be denoted by symbol $H(x)$ finally, for the sake of brevity, the H-function of the form

$$H_{p+1, q+1}^{l, m+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} ((\mu, \lambda), ((a_p, A_p))) \\ ((b_q, B_q)), (\gamma, \delta) \end{array} \right. \right] \text{ will be abbreviated as } H_{p+1, q+1}^{l, m+1} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{l} ((\mu, \lambda), ((\quad))) \\ ((\quad)), (\gamma, \delta) \end{array} \right. \right]$$

$$\text{H-function of the form } H_{p, q}^{l, m} \left[ze^{-\lambda t} \left| \begin{array}{l} ((a_p, A_p)) \\ ((b_q, B_q)) \end{array} \right. \right] \text{ will be abbreviated as } H[ze^{-\lambda t}].$$

Gottlieb [6] introduced a function called Gottlieb polynomial which is defined & denoted in the following manner:

$$\varphi_n(x; \lambda) = e^{-n\lambda} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{array}{l} -n, -x \\ 1 \end{array} \middle| 1 - e^\lambda \right]$$

1.2 Some Known Results

The following known results will be required in the proof of the integrals (involving H-function of one variable) to be evaluated.

(i) $\int_0^\infty e^{-st} \varphi_n(x; -t) dt = \frac{\Gamma(s-n)\Gamma(s+x+1)}{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(s+x-n+1)}$ provided $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. [9, p.303] ... (1.2.1)

(ii) $\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{\lambda-1} p_\nu(x) dx = \frac{2^\lambda [\Gamma(\lambda)]^2}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu+1)\Gamma(\lambda-\nu)}$ provided $\text{Re}(\lambda) > 0$. [3, p.316(15)] ... (1.2.2)

$$(iii) \quad \int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\alpha e^{i\beta t} dt = \frac{\pi}{2^\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\beta} \text{ provided } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > -1. [1, p.12(29)] \quad \dots(1.2.3)$$

$$(iv) \quad \int_0^\pi (\cos t)^\alpha \cos(\beta t) dt = \frac{\pi \Gamma(1+\alpha)}{2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)} \text{ provided } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > -1. [1, p.12(30)] \quad \dots(1.2.4)$$

1.3 Single Integral Involving H-function Of One Variable

The integrals to be evaluated here are expressed in the form of the following theorems :

Theorem (1.3.1)

If the following sets of conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\lambda > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) + \lambda_{\min_{1 \leq j \leq l}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_j}{B_j}\right) > 0$ and
- (ii) The H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.1) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \varphi_n(x; -t) H[z e^{-\lambda t}] dt = H_{p+2, q+2}^{l, m+2} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (1+n-\sigma, \lambda), (-x-\sigma, \lambda), ((\quad)) \\ ((\quad)), (-\sigma, \lambda), (n-x-\sigma, \lambda) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad \dots(1.3.1)$$

Proof : Expressing H-function in the left hand side, of (1.3.1) in contour integral form by (1.1.7); changing the order of t-integral and contour integral,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s)} z^s \cdot \int_0^\infty \varphi_n(x; -t) e^{-\lambda s t - \sigma t} dt ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s)} z^s \cdot \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-(\sigma+\lambda s)t} \varphi_n(x; -t) dt \right\} ds \end{aligned}$$

on integrating the inner t-integral with the help of (1.2.1) we get

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\sigma - n + \lambda s) \Gamma(\sigma + x + 1 + \lambda s)}{\Gamma(1 + \sigma + \lambda s) \Gamma(1 - n + x + \sigma + \lambda s)} z^s ds \quad \dots(1.3.1.1)$$

Now on interpreting (1.3.1.1) with the help of (1.1.7) the right hand side of (1.3.1) follows immediately.

Theorem (1.3.2)

If the following sets of conditions are satisfied :

(i) $\mu > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) - \mu_{1 \leq j \leq m}^{\max} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{a_j - 1}{A_j}\right) > 0$. and

(ii) the H-function occurring in (1.3.2) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma t} \varphi_n(x; -t) H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z e^{\mu t} \left| \begin{matrix} ((\quad)) \\ ((\quad)) \end{matrix} \right. \right] dt = H_{p+2, q+2}^{l+2, m} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} ((\quad)), (1+\sigma, \mu), (1-n+x+\sigma, \mu) \\ (\sigma-n, \mu), (1+x+\sigma, \mu), ((\quad)) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad \dots(1.3.2)$$

Proof : To prove (1.3.2), expressing H-function of the one variable in the left hand side, of (1.3.2) in contour integral form by (1.1.7);

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma t} \varphi_n(x; -t) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s)} z^s e^{\mu t s} ds dt$$

changing the order of t-integral and contour integral,

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s)} z^s \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-(\sigma - \mu s)t} \varphi_n(x; -t) dt \right] ds$$

on integrating the inner t-integral with the help of (3.2.1) we get

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\sigma - n - \mu s) \Gamma(\sigma + x + 1 - \mu s)}{\Gamma(\sigma + 1 - \mu s) \Gamma(\sigma + x - n + 1 - \mu s)} z^s ds \quad \dots(1.3.2.1)$$

Now on interpreting (1.3.2.1) with the help of (1.1.7) the right hand side of (1.3.2) is obtained.

Theorem (1.3.3)

If the following sets of conditions are satisfied:

(i) $h > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) + h_{1 \leq j \leq l}^{\min} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_j}{B_j}\right) > 0$ and

(ii) the H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.3) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{\lambda-1} p_v(x) H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^h \left| \begin{matrix} ((\quad)) \\ ((\quad)) \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx = 2^\lambda H_{p+2, q+2}^{l, m+2} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (-\lambda, h), (-\lambda, h), ((\quad)) \\ ((\quad)), (\pm v - \lambda, h) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad \dots(1.3.3)$$

Proof: To prove (1.3.3), expressing H-function of one variable in the left hand side of (1.3.3) in contour integral form by (1.1.7);

$$= \int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{\lambda-1} p_v(x) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \psi(s) z^s \frac{(1+x)^{hs}}{2^{hs}} ds dx.$$

Where $\psi(s)$ is given by (1.1.8). Changing the order of x-integral and s-integral,

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\psi(s) z^s}{2^{hs}} \int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{\lambda+hs-1} p_v(x) dx ds$$

On integrating the inner integral with the help of (1.2.2) we get

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s) 2^{\lambda + hs} [\Gamma(\lambda + hs)]^2}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) 2^{hs} \Gamma(\lambda + hs + \nu + 1) \Gamma(\lambda + hs - \nu)} z^s ds \quad \dots(1.3.3.1)$$

Now on interpreting (1.3.3.1) with the help of (1.1.7) the right hand side of (1.3.3) follows immediately.

Theorem (1.3.4)

If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $k > 0, \text{Re}(\lambda) > 0, \text{Re}(\lambda) - k_{1 \leq j \leq m}^{\max} \left(\frac{a_j - 1}{A_j} \right) > 0$ and
- (ii) the H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.4) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{\lambda-1} P_\nu(x) H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z \left[\frac{1}{2}(1+x) \right]^{-k} \left| \begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx = 2^\lambda H_{p+2,q+2}^{l+2,m} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (), (1+\lambda+\nu, k), (\lambda-\nu, k) \\ (\lambda, k), (\lambda, k), () \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad \dots(1.3.4)$$

Proof : The proof is similar to that of theorem (1.3.3).

Theorem (1.3.5)

If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mu > 0, \text{Re}(\sigma) + \mu_{1 \leq j \leq l}^{\min} \text{Re} \left(\frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) > -1$ and
- (ii) the H-function occurring in (1.3.5) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^\sigma P_\nu(x) H \left[z(1+x)^\mu \right] dx = 2^{\sigma+1} H_{p+2,q+2}^{l,m+2} \left[2^\mu z \left| \begin{matrix} (-\sigma, \mu), (-\sigma, \mu), () \\ (), (-1-\sigma-\nu, \mu), (\nu-\sigma, \mu) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad \dots(1.3.5)$$

Proof : Proof of this theorem is similar to that of (1.3.4).

Theorem (1.3.6)

If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\text{Re}(\alpha) > -1, \mu > 0, \text{Re}(\alpha) + \mu_{1 \leq j \leq l}^{\min} \text{Re} \left(\frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) > -1$ and
- (ii) the H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.6) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\alpha e^{i\beta t} H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[x(2 \sin t)^\mu \left| \begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right. \right] dt = 2^{-\alpha} \pi e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\beta} H_{p+1,q+2}^{l,m+1} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (-\alpha, \mu), () \\ () \end{matrix} \right. \right] \dots (1.3.6)$$

Proof : To prove (1.3.6) expressing H-function of one variable in L.H.S of (1.3.6) in contour integral form by (1.1.7) and changing the order of t-integral and contour integral and then on integrating the inner t-integral with the help of (1.2.3), we get

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{2^{\mu s} \pi e^{i\pi\beta/2}}{2^{\alpha+\mu s}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s) \Gamma(1 + \alpha + \mu s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha + \beta + \mu s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha - \beta + \mu s}{2}\right)} x^s ds \dots (1.3.6.1)$$

on interpreting the contour integral in (1.3.6.1) with the help of (1.1.7), the right hand side of (1.3.6) follows immediately.

Theorem (1.3.7)

If the following conditions are satisfied :

- (i) $\zeta > 0, \text{Re}(\sigma) > -1, \text{Re}(\sigma) - \zeta_{1 \leq j \leq m}^{\max} \text{Re}\left(\frac{a_j - 1}{A_j}\right) > -1$ and
- (ii) the H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.7) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\sigma e^{i\beta t} H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[x \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin t\right)^{-\zeta} \left| \begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right. \right] dt = 2^{-\sigma} \pi \exp(i \frac{1}{2} \pi \beta) H_{p+2,q+1}^{l+1,m} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} () \end{matrix} \right. \right] \dots (1.3.7)$$

Proof : Expressing H-function in the L.H.S of (1.3.7) in contour integral form ; changing the order of t-integral and contour integral and then evaluating the inner integral by using (1.2.3) we obtain,

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s) \Gamma(1 + \sigma - \zeta s) x^s \pi e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi\beta i}}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sigma + \beta - \zeta s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sigma - \beta - \zeta s}{2}\right) 2^\sigma} ds \dots (1.3.7.1)$$

on interpreting the contour integral (1.3.7.1) into H-function by using (1.1.7), we get the RHS of (1.3.7).

Theorem (1.3.8) (A)

If the following conditions are satisfied :

- (i) $\eta > 0, \text{Re}(\lambda) > -1, \text{Re}(\beta) + \eta_{1 \leq j \leq l}^{\min} \text{Re}\left(\frac{b_j}{B_j}\right) > 0$
- (ii) the H-function occurring in (1.3.8)(A) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\lambda e^{i\beta t} H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[x e^{i\eta t} \left(\begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right) \right] dt = 2^{-\lambda} \pi \Gamma(1+\lambda) e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\beta} H_{p+1,q+1}^{l,m} \left[x e^{i\pi/2\eta} \left(\begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right), \left(1 + \frac{\lambda - \beta}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\eta \right) \right] \dots(1.3.8)(A)$$

Theorem (1.3.8) (B)

If the following conditions are satisfied :

- (i) $\eta > 0, \text{Re}(\lambda) > -1, \text{Re}(\beta) - \eta_{1 \leq j \leq m}^{\max} \text{Re} \left(\frac{a_j - 1}{A_j} \right) > 0$
- (ii) the H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.8)(B) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\lambda e^{i\beta t} H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[x e^{-i\eta t} \left(\begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right) \right] dt = \pi 2^{-\lambda} \Gamma(1+\lambda) e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\beta} H_{p+1,q+1}^{l,m} \left[x e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\pi\eta} \left(\begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right), \left(1 + \frac{\lambda + \beta}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\eta \right) \right] \dots(1.3.8)(B)$$

Proof: The proof is similar to that of (1.3.7).

Theorem (1.3.9)

If the following conditions are satisfied :

- (i) $\nu > 0, \text{Re}(\sigma) + (\nu)_{1 \leq j \leq l}^{\min} \text{Re} \left(\frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) > -1$ and $\lambda > 0$,
- $\text{Re}(\mu) + (\lambda)_{1 \leq j \leq l}^{\min} \text{Re} \left(\frac{b_j}{B_j} \right) > 0, \nu > \lambda$ and
- (ii) the H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.9) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\sigma e^{i\mu t} H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[x (2 \sin t)^\nu e^{i\lambda t} \left(\begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right) \right] dt = \frac{\pi}{2^\sigma} \exp(i\frac{1}{2}\pi\mu) H_{p+1,q+2}^{l,m+1} \left[x e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\lambda} \left(\begin{matrix} (-\sigma, \nu), () \\ () \end{matrix} \right), \left(\frac{-\sigma - \mu}{2}, \frac{\nu + \lambda}{2} \right), \left(\frac{\mu - \sigma}{2}, \frac{\nu - \lambda}{2} \right) \right] \dots(1.3.9)$$

Proof : To prove (1.3.9), expressing H-function of one variable in L.H.S of (1.3.9) in contour integral form by (1.1.7) and changing the order of t-integral and contour integral,

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) \prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s)} x^s 2^{\nu s} \int_0^\pi (\sin t)^{\sigma + \nu s} e^{i(\mu + \lambda s)t} dt ds$$

on evaluating the inner t-integral by using (1.2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s) \Gamma(1 + \sigma + \nu s) x 2^{\nu s} \pi e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\mu + \lambda s)} ds}{\prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) \prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sigma + \mu + \nu s + \lambda s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sigma - \mu + \nu s - \lambda s}{2}\right) 2^{\sigma + \nu s}} \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{2^\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\mu} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s) \Gamma(1 + \sigma + \nu s) (x e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\lambda})^s ds}{\prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) \prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sigma + \mu}{2} + \left\{\frac{\nu + \lambda}{2}\right\}s\right) \Gamma\left(1 - \left\{\frac{\mu - \sigma}{2}\right\} + \left\{\frac{\nu - \lambda}{2}\right\}s\right)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.3.9.1}$$

Now on interpreting (1.3.9.1) into H-function with the help of (1.1.7) the RHS of (1.3.9) follows immediately.

Note : If $\lambda > \nu$, then (1.3.9.1) can also be written as

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\pi}{2^\sigma} e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\mu} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s) \Gamma(1 + \sigma + \nu s)}{\prod_{l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) \Gamma\left[1 + \frac{\sigma + \mu}{2} + \left(\frac{\nu + \lambda}{2}\right)s\right]} \cdot \frac{(x e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\lambda})^s}{\Gamma\left[1 + \frac{\sigma - \mu}{2} - \left(\frac{\lambda - \nu}{2}\right)s\right]} ds \tag{1.3.9.2}$$

Now on interpreting (1.3.9.2) with the help of (1.1.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\sigma e^{i\mu t} H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[x (2 \sin t)^\nu e^{i\lambda t} \left(\begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right) \right] dt \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{2^\sigma} \exp(i\frac{1}{2}\pi\mu) H_{p+2,q+1}^{l,m+1} \left[x e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\lambda} \left(\begin{matrix} (-\sigma, \nu), () \\ () \end{matrix} \right) \left(\frac{2 + \sigma - \mu}{2}, \frac{\lambda - \nu}{2} \right) \right] \tag{1.3.9(B)}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem (1.3.10)

If the following conditions are satisfied :

- (i) $\lambda > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) + \lambda \min_{1 \leq j \leq l} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_j}{B_j}\right) > -1$ and
- (ii) the H-function of one variable occurring in (1.3.10) satisfies the conditions of analyticity similar to (1.1.10) then,

$$\int_0^\pi (\cos t)^\sigma (\cos \mu t) H_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z (2 \cos t)^\lambda \left(\begin{matrix} () \\ () \end{matrix} \right) \right] dt = \frac{\pi}{2^{1+\sigma}} H_{p+1,q+2}^{l,m+1} \left[z \left(\begin{matrix} (-\sigma, \lambda), () \\ () \end{matrix} \right) \left(\frac{-\sigma - \mu}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2} \right), \left(\frac{\mu - \sigma}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \right] \tag{1.3.10}$$

Proof : To prove (1.3.10), expressing H-function in the L.H.S of (1.3.10) in contour integral form by (1.1.7); changing the order of integrals and on integrating the inner integral with the help of (1.2.4), we get

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\pi}{2^{\sigma+1}} \right) \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(b_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s) \Gamma(1 + \sigma + \lambda s)}{\prod_{j=l+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=m+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j s) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sigma + \mu + \lambda s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\sigma - \mu + \lambda s}{2}\right)} z^s ds \quad \dots(1.3.10.1)$$

Now on interpreting (1.3.10.1) into H-function by (1.1.7) the RHS of (1.3.10) follows immediately.

1.4. Special cases of (1.3) for G-functions

Taking A_j, B_j each equal to unity in (1.3.1) to (1.3.10), we get the corresponding integrals for Meijer's G-function. These are given below :

(1) Taking $\lambda = 1$ in (1.3.1), we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma t} \varphi_n(x; -t) G_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z e^{-t} \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p), 1) \\ ((b_q), 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right] dt = G_{p+2, q+2}^{l, m+2} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (1+n-\sigma, 1), (-x-\sigma, 1), ((a_p), 1) \\ ((b_q), 1), (-\sigma, 1), (n-x-\sigma, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

(2) Taking $\mu = 1$ in (1.3.2), we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma t} \varphi_n(n; -t) G_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z e^t \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p), 1) \\ ((b_q), 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right] dt = G_{p+2, q+2}^{l+2, m} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p), 1), (1+\sigma, 1)(1-n+x+\sigma, 1) \\ (\sigma-n, 1), (1+x+\sigma, 1)((b_q), 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

(3) If we take $h = 1$ in (1.3.3), we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{\lambda-1} P_\nu(x) G_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right) \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p)) \\ ((b_q)) \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx = 2^\lambda G_{p+2, q+2}^{l, m+2} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (-\lambda), (-\lambda), ((a_p)) \\ ((b_q)), (\pm \nu - \lambda) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

(4) If we put $k = 1$ in (1.3.4), we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{\lambda-1} P_\nu(x) G_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[z \left(\frac{1+x}{2} \right)^{-1} \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx = 2^\lambda G_{p+2, q+2}^{l+2, m} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p, (1+\lambda+\nu), (\lambda-\nu) \\ \lambda, \lambda, b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

(5) If we put $\mu = 1$ in (1.3.5), we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^\sigma P_\nu(x) G_{p,q}^{l,m} [z(1+x)] dx = 2^{\sigma+1} G_{p+2, q+2}^{l, m+2} \left[2z \left| \begin{matrix} (-\sigma), (-\sigma), ((a_p)) \\ ((b_q)), (-1-\sigma-\nu), (\nu-\sigma) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

(6) Taking $\eta = 2$ in (1.3.8), we get

$$(a) \quad \int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\lambda e^{i\beta t} G_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[e^{2it} \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p)) \\ ((b_q)) \end{matrix} \right. \right] dt = 2^{-\lambda} \pi \Gamma(1+\lambda) e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\beta} G_{p+1, q+1}^{l, m} \left[x e^{i\pi} \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p)), \left(1 + \frac{\lambda - \beta}{2}\right) \\ ((b_q)), \left(\frac{-\lambda - \beta}{2}\right) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

$$(b) \quad \int_0^\pi (\sin t)^\lambda e^{i\beta t} G_{p,q}^{l,m} \left[x e^{-2it} \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p)) \\ ((b_q)) \end{matrix} \right. \right] dt = \pi 2^{-\lambda} \Gamma(1+\lambda) e^{i\frac{1}{2}\pi\beta} G_{p+1,q+1}^{l,m} \left[x e^{-it} \left| \begin{matrix} ((a_p)), \left(1 + \frac{\lambda+\beta}{2}\right) \\ ((b_q)), \left(\frac{\beta-\lambda}{2}\right) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

REFERENCES

- [1]. **Erdelyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F. and Tricomi, F.G. (1953).**; "Higher Transcendental Functions" Vol. I, Mc Graw-Hill, New York.
- [2]. **Erdelyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F. and Tricomi, F.G. (1954).**; "Higher Transcendental Functions" Vol. II, Mc Graw-Hill, New York.
- [3]. **Erdelyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F. and Tricomi, F.G. (1954).**; "Tables of Integral Transforms". Voll II, Mc Graw - Hill New York.
- [4]. **Exton, H. (1976).**; Multiple hypergeometric functions and applications. Johan Wiley, New York.
- [5]. **Gautam, G.P. (1981a).**; A study of general transcendental functions, Ph.D. Thesis of Raj. Univ., Jaipur.
- [6]. **Gottlieb, M.J. (1938).**; Concerning some polynomials orthogonal on a finite or enumerable set of points, Amer. Journal of Math., 60, pp. 453-458.
- [7]. **Meijer, C.S. (1941).**; Multiplikations theorems fur die function $G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z)$. Naderi. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. 44, 1062-1070.
- [8]. **Meijer, C.S. (1946).**; On the G- function I-VIII. Proc. Kon. ned. Akad. Wet. 49,227-237, 344-356,457-469,632-641,765-772,936-943,1063-1072,1165-1175.
- [9]. **Rainville, E.D. (1960).**; "Special functions". Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York.
- [10]. **Srivastav, H.M., Gupta, K.C. and Goyal, S.P. (1982).**; The H-function of one and two variables with applications. Publ. South Asian, New Delhi.
- [11]. **Wright, E.M. (1935).**; The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hyper geometric function. Jour. London Math. Soc.10, 286-293.
- [12]. **Wright, E.M. (1940).**; The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function. Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)46, 389-408.