"Exploration of Multi-Bay Steel Frames for High-Rise Buildings using Seismic Design"

Sumedh P. Gaikwad¹, Prof. S. B. Salve²

¹PG Student Department of Civil Engineering, Deogiri Institute of Engineering & Management Studies, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Deogiri Institute of Engineering & Management Studies, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract: - One of the most widely utilized building materials in the world is steel. Steel's inherent strength, hardness, and ductility make it the perfect material for earthquake design. The design engineer must be conversant with both the purpose stated in the codes and the pertinent steel design provisions in order to take use of these advantages in seismic applications. This project's building structure was created in accordance with IS 1893-2002 and IS 800. The goal of this project is to investigate and design a multistory and multibay (G+5) building structure that is earthquake-resistant using IS 1893 and IS 800:2007. The structure has six stories and three lateral bays and five horizontal bays. The random piece selection was carried out methodically by using equivalent static load methodology.

Key Words: Steel, Earthquake, Multistory & Multibay structure, Equivalent Static load.

Date of Submission: 19-04-2023

Date of acceptance: 03-05-2023

I. Introduction: -

Seismic analysis is a subset of structural analysis that involves estimating the seismic reaction of a building. It is part of the structural design, earthquake engineering, or structural evaluation and retrofit process in earthquake-prone areas. The most powerful earthquakes occur at the tectonic plate borders of the Earth. These plates have a natural inclination to move relative to one another, but friction prevents this from happening until the stresses between plates under the epicenter point become so severe that they abruptly shift. There was an earthquake. The local shock causes ground waves to propagate over the earth's surface, triggering movement at structural foundations. Waves become less significant as you move away from the core. As a result, parts of the planet have a higher or lower seismic risk based on their closeness to the main tectonic plate borders. Steel constructions are resistant to earthquakes due to their ductility. Steel structures that have been subjected to earthquakes have performed admirably. Structures made of various materials are commonly related with global collapses and a huge number of victims. Because element failure is not ductile, a structure built to the first choice will be heavier and may not provide a safety margin to cover higher-than-planned earthquake actions. In this circumstance, the structure's global behavior is "brittle," which corresponds to concept a) in a Base Shear V-Top Displacement diagram. In the second option, specific areas of the structure are designed to withstand cyclic plastic deformations without failure, while the structure as a whole is designed so that only those selected zones are plastically deformed.

II. Literature Review: -

Rong Chen Dongxue Hao (December 2021) [1] Multi-story steel frames are popular building structures. For those with insufficient seismic resistance, their seismic capacity can be improved by installing buckling-restrained braces (BRBs), which is known for high energy dissipation capacity, and the corresponding frame is denoted as BRB frame (BRBF).

Akshay. P. Wawge (June 2021) [2] Generally Structure framework are designed for gravity loading. In case of Rigid connection, the full 100% strength of Beam section is never utilized due to the fact in Beam at the end the end support Moment are always having greater value than Mid-Span Moment.

Iman Faridmehr (October 2019) [3] A test program was considered to clarify the cyclic characteristics of eight full-scale unstiffened extended end-plates with variable parameters and one Side Plate moment connection.

Concepción Díaz (May 2011) [4] Steel portal frames were traditionally designed assuming that beam-to-column joints are ideally pinned or fully rigid.

Objectives: -

- Storey Drift by using Lateral Force method & Response Spectrum Method.
- Compare Base shear for Lateral as well as Response Spectrum Method.
- Find the optimum percentage of steel.

III. Methodology: -

In that present work, the structure consisting of six stories with three bays in horizontal direction and six bays in lateral direction is taken and analyzed it by both equivalent static method and response spectrum analysis and designed. The storey height is 3 meters and the horizontal spacing between bays is 8 meters and lateral spacing of bays is 6 meters.



Fig: 3-Dimensional view of the Steel Building Frame



Fig: Plan of the Building Frame

Fig: Elevation of the Building Frame

Performance Analysis:

Analysis is done by using Lateral Force Method & Response Spectrum Analysis for a selected problem statement and results are carried out.

 Tuble: Thiarysis by Eutoral Toree Method								
Storey no.	Absolute	Design inter	Storey lateral force V _{tot} (KN)	Shear at storeyP _{tot} (KN)				
	displacement ofstorey D _i (m)	storey drift D _r (m)						
1	0.003869	0.003869	1.969	179.201				
2	0.012595	0.008726	7.951	177.232				
	1		1	1				

Table	Analysis	by Lateral	Force	Method
rabic.	Analysis	by Latera		Methou

3	0.023837	0.011242	17.83	169.281
4	0.035892	0.012055	31.657	151.451
5	0.047566	0.011674	49.212	119.794
6	0.058123	0.010557	70.582	70.582

Table. Analysis by Response Speetrum Method							
Storey no.	Absolute displacement of	Design inter storey drift D _r	Storey lateral force V _{tot} (KN)	Shear at storeyP _{tot} (KN)			
	storey D _i (m)	(m)					
1	0.00491	0.00491	1.877	120.981			
2	0.0115	0.0066	6.112	119.104			
3	0.0161	0.0046	10.651	112.992			
4	0.0196	0.0035	17.331	102.341			
5	0.0219	0.0023	29.98	85.01			
6	0.0234	0.0015	55.03	55.03			

Table: Analysis by Response Spectrum Method

IV. Result & Conclusion:

The P- Δ effect refers to the additional moment produced by the vertical loads and the lateraldeflection of the column or other elements of the building resting lateral forces.



Fig: P-∆ €	effect
------------	--------

	Table: Correction for P- Δ effect (Lateral Force Method)							
Storey	Absolute	Design	Storey	Shear at	Total	Storey	Inter	
no:	displacement	inter	lateral	storey	cumulative	height:	storey drift	
	of the storey $D_i(m)$	storeydrift D _r (m)	forces	V _{tot} (KN)	gravity load at storey P _{tot} (KN)	H _i (m)	sensitivity coefficient:(θ)	
1	0.003869	0.003869	1.969	179.201	7344	3	0.05285	
2	0.012595	0.008726	7.951	177.232	6120	3	0.10043*	
3	0.023837	0.011242	17.83	169.281	4896	3	0.10838*	
4	0.035892	0.012055	31.657	151.451	3672	3	0.09742	
5	0.047566	0.011674	49.212	. 119.794	2448	3	0.07951	
6	0.058123	0.010557	70.582	. 70.582	1224	3	0.06102	

rable: Correction for P-2 effect, (Response Spectrum Analysis)							
Storey	Absolute	Design	Storey	Shear at	Total	Storey	Inter
no:	displacement	inter	lateral	storey	cumulative	height:	storey drift
	of the storey D_i (m)	storeydrift D _r (m)	forces	V _{tot} (KN)	gravity load at storey P _{tot} (KN)	H _i (m)	sensitivity coefficient:(θ)
1	0.00491	0.00491	1.877	120.981	7344	3	0.09935
2	0.0115	0.0066	6.112	119.104	6120	3	0.11304*
3	0.0161	0.0046	10.651	112.992	4896	3	0.06644
4	0.0196	0.0035	17.331	102.341	3672	3	0.04186
5	0.0219	0.0023	29.98	85.01	2448	3	0.02207
6	0.0234	0.0015	55.03	55.03	1224	3	0.01112

Table: Correction for P- Δ effect, (Response Spectrum Analysis)

Results of Lateral Force Method: Maximum bending moment, shear force etc. are obtained for load combination 1.7(EQ+DL)



Fig. Displacement Diagram for Load Combination 1.7(EQ+DL)

Results of Response Spectrum Analysis: Maximum bending moment, shear force etc. are obtained for load combination 1.3(DL+LL+EQ)





V. Conclusion:

1. As an Inter storey drift result was found that the displacements of response spectrum method were less than that of lateral force method.

2. Storey shear found by response spectrum method is less than that found by lateral force method.

3. The amount of steel required for seismic design by using lateral force method is found to be 19.73% less than that by using response spectrum analysis.

4. Because of the heavier sections used in response spectrum method the absolute displacement, storey drift are less than lateral force method.

5. The values of resultant base shear in lateral force method is 49.33 % more than that of response spectrum method.

Reference:

- Rong Chen Dongxue Hao, Seismic Response Analysis of Multi-Story Steel Frames Using BRB and SCB Hybrid Bracing System, School of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Northeast Electric Power University, Jilin 132012, China; <u>20102291@neepu.edu.cn</u> Appl. Sci. 2021.
- [2]. Akshay. P. Wawge, D. Siva Prasad Reddy Seismic Design of Multistoried and Multibay Steel Building Frame, International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology Research, Volume.06, IssueNo.05, February-2011, Pages: 0818-0822.
- [3]. Iman Faridmehr, Seismic and Progressive Collapse Assessment of New Proposed Steel Connection, Advances in Structural Engineering, Volume 18, Issue 3, 2019.
- [4]. O. KAMAL, Design Synthesis for Single- And Multi-Bay Steel Frames According to ECP, Engng. Res. Jour., Vol 99, Pp.C 22-C 41, June 2005helwan University, Faculty of Eng., Mataria, Cairo.
- [5]. A. Charilaos, Psycharis, N. Loannis.," Effect of higher modes on the seismic response and design of moment resisting RC frame structures," Journal of engineering structures.56(2013), pp.417-430, 2013.
- [6]. G. Navya, Agarwal Pankaj, 2016." Seismic retrofitting of structures by steel bracings," Journal of Procardia engineering. 144(2016), pp.1346-1372.
- [7]. Falborski Tomasz., Jankowski Robert.,2016." Numerical evaluation of dynamic response of a steel structure model under various seismic excitations," Journal of Procardia engineering. 172(2017), pp.277-283.
- [8]. Subramanian N., Design of steel structures, Oxford University Press,7th edition,2011,173-209.
- [9]. Negi LS, Design of Steel Structures, Tata Mc-Graw Hill Publishing Company Limited, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [10]. Agarwal Pankaj and Shrikhande Manish, Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures, Prentice Hall of India Limited, July 2006, 251-336.
- [11]. Design Example by Arcelor-Mittal.(<u>www.arcelor-mittal.org</u>).
- [12]. IS 800:2007, Third Revision, General Construction in Steel –Code of Practice.
- [13]. IS 1893:2002, Fifth Revision, Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures, Part 1 General Provisions and Buildings.
- [14]. Quio S., Zhou K., Han X., Ji J., 2016. "Seismic Analysis of Steel Structures with Brace Configuration using Topology Optimization," Journal of Steel and Composite Structures (2016), pp 501-515.
- [15]. Pankaj Agarwal, Manish Shrikhande, "Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures", PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi (2014).
- [16]. Poonam, Anil Kumar and Ashok Gupta, (2012), "Study of Response of Structural Irregular Building Frames to Seismic Excitations", International Journal of Civil, Structural, Environmental and Infrastructure Engineering Research and Development (IJCSEIERD), ISSN 2249-6866 Vol.2, Issue 2 (2012) 25-31.
- [17]. Anjum Taj, A Review On High Rise Buildings Using Steel as Construction Material, International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Impact Factor 7.105, Vol.9, Issue4, April2022.

- [18]. Hassanien Serror, M., R. Adel Diab, and S. Ahmed Mourad. 2014. "Seismic Force Reduction Factor for Steel Moment Resisting Frames with Supplemental Viscous Dampers." Earthquake and Structures7(6):1171–86.
- [19]. K.K. Sangle, Seismic Analysis of High Rise Steel Frame Building with and Without Bracing, WCEE2012-1218.
- [20]. S.H. Chao, and M.R. Bayat., et. al., [2008], "Performance based plastic design of steel concentric braced frames for enhanced confidence level," 14th World conference on Earthquake engineering October 12-17, Beijing, China.
 [21]. R. Leon and R. Desroches., et.al., [2006], "Behaviour of braced frames with innovative bracing schemes," National Science
- [21]. R. Leon and R. Desroches., et.al., [2006], "Behaviour of braced frames with innovative bracing schemes," National Science foundation NSF award CMS-0324277.
 [22] C.W. Beeder and D.F. Lehmen, [2000], "Berformance based seigmin design of concentrically braced frames," Award CMS, 0201702.
- [22]. C.W. Roeder and D.E. Lehman., [2002], "Performance based seismic design of concentrically braced frames," Award CMS-0301792, National Science Foundation, Washington D.C.
- [23]. Adachi F, Yoshitomi S, Tsuji M, Takewaki I (2013) Nonlinear optimal oil damper design in seismically controlled multi-story building frame. Soil Dyn Earth Eng 44:1–13.
- [24]. Alavi A, Dolatabadi M, Mashhadi J, Farsangi EN (2021) Simultaneous optimization approach for combined control–structural design versus the conventional sequential optimization method. Struct Mult Optim 63(3):1367–1383.
- [25]. Subramanian N., Design of steel structures, Oxford University Press,7th edition,2011,173-209.