International Journal of Research in Engineering and Science (IJRES)
ISSN (Online): 2320-9364, ISSN (Print): 2320-9356
www.ijres.org Volume 10 Issue 2 ǁ 2022 ǁ PP. 46-49

"A study on linear differential-algebraic equations using reduction algorithm."

Hoshiyar Bhardwaj[1]* Dr. Balveer singh[2]

[1] Research Scholar, Lords University, Rajasthan [2] Research Supervisor

Abstract
In many applications, time-domain models of dynamical systems are expressed in terms of differential-algebraic equations, which are derived from differential equations (DAEs). The properly stated formulation has recently been developed for the linear time-varying context, as a result of certain limitations of models in different forms, which allows for explicit descriptions of problem solutions in regular DAEs with arbitrary index, as well as precise functional input-output characterizations of the system. In this context, the current paper addresses linear differential-algebraic equations using a reduction algorithm.
Keywords: *linear differential, algebraic equation, reduction algorithm*

Date of Submission: 14-02-2022 Date of acceptance: 28-02-2022

I. Introduction

Generally speaking, a differential-algebraic equation (DAE) is a mathematical equation that involves an unknown function and its derivatives. In its most general form, a (first order) DAE can be expressed as
When the function f(t,x,x′) is zero, the time is zero, and the number zero is the same as the number zero (1)

where x=x(t) is the unknown function and F=F(t,u,v) has N components, denoted by xi and Fi, i=1,2,...,N, respectively, and xi is the unknown function. Every DAE can be written as a first order DAE, regardless of its complexity. It is customary to use the term DAE to refer to the situation in which the highest derivative, x′, cannot be solved for using the other terms t and x in the case of (1) being viewed as an algebraic relationship between three variables (t and x, x′). It is possible that the Jacobian F/v along a particular solution of the DAE is singular. Implicit systems, generalised systems, and descriptor systems are all terms used to describe systems of equations such as (1). The DAE may be an initial value problem, in which case x is specified at the start of the problem, x(t0)=x0, or a boundary value problem, in which case the solution is subject to N two-point boundary conditions, g(x(t0),x(tf))=0, and the solution is a boundary value problem.

The solution method for a DAE will be determined by the structure of the DAE. The semi-explicit differential equation (SDE) or ordinary differential equation (ODE) with constraints is a special but important class of DAEs of the form (1).
y′0==f(t,y,z)g(t,y,z), y′0==f(t,y,z) (2)
which appear on a regular basis in applications The explicit constraints are represented by the values x=(y,z) and g(t,y,z)=0.

II. Literature review

When applied to various engineering and applied science contexts, dynamical system models are frequently expressed as differential-algebraic equations (DAE) [1-4]. Because, as will be discussed further below, the dynamical behaviour of regular DAEs can be eventually described in terms of an ordinary differential equation (ODE), the primary differences between ODEs and DAEs arise from the perspectives of modelling and computation. New dynamical phenomena can manifest themselves in non-regular contexts, and DAE models are required to capture this singular behaviour; however, the existence of a global state space (ODE) model of the system is typically precluded by the presence of these singularities. A good example of this is the widespread use of differential algebraic equations in circuit applications today, with modern simulation programmes such as SPICE or TITAN setting up circuit equations in differential algebraic form [5-15]. DAEs are also encountered frequently in other fields such as mechanics, controls, power system theory, chemical processes, and so on, and are sometimes referred to by other names such as descriptor, generalised, constrained, or semi-state systems [1, 3, 16]. DAEs are also encountered frequently in the field of mathematics.
In a linear time-varying setting, DAE models are frequently configured in the following manner:

$$E(t)x'(t) + F(t)x(t) = q(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \qquad (1)$$

The coefficients of the continuous (in t) matrix E(t), F(t), and L(R m) are L(R m), and E(t) is typically a singular matrix for all t. There are several known drawbacks to this formulation, particularly when seeking input-output functional characterizations and inverse models of the system in adjoint formulations, and also from a numerical point of view 17-19, which are highlighted in the following sections:
As a result, recent attention has been drawn to models in the shape of a sphere.

$$A(t)(D(t)x(t))' + B(t)x(t) = q(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \qquad (2)$$

in which the matrix coefficients A(t) L(R n), D(t) L(R m), and B(t) L(R m) are continuously dependent on the time constant t. Because the leading term in (2) is intended to capture the components of x that are actually required to be differentiated, it appears in this form in a variety of circuit and control applications, including adjoint formulations, and exhibits a number of interesting analytical and numerical characteristics.

III. Differential and algebraic functions

When applied to engineering and science problems, DAEs can be found in either their general form (1) or their special form (2). They can be found in multibody and flexible body mechanics, electrical circuit design, optimal control, incompressible fluids, molecular dynamics, chemical kinetics (including quasi steady state and partial equilibrium approximations), and chemical process control, to name a few.
Example -
A simple example of a DAE is the motion of a pendulum in Cartesian coordinates, which can be represented mathematically.
Pendulum
Allowing for a length of 1 for the pendulum to be used, the coordinates of the tiny ball of mass 1 at the end of the rod should be written as (x1,x2).
Newton's equations of motion yield the following results:
x″1 = x1 x1 x1 x1
x″2= x2g, x″2= x2g, x″2= x2g, x″2= x2g, x″2= x2g, x″2= x2g (3)
where g denotes the gravitational force and denotes the Lagrange multiplier. The xi terms represent the force that holds the solution to the constraint to the constraint's solution.
where the condition is expressed as a fixed length for the rod, with the length of the rod being 1.
A DAE system of the form (2) is obtained by rewriting the two second order equations as four first order ODEs. This system contains four differential equations and one algebraic equation.
As an example of a very simple mechanical system with two bodies, the change of variables x1=sin and X2, followed by some algebra results in the well-known ODE for a pendulum, which is denoted by ″=gsin.
However, in more general situations, such a straightforward elimination procedure is almost always impossible.
The authors provide additional examples of real-world DAE systems, such as multibody mechanical systems, an electrical circuit, and a prescribed path control problem, which are detailed in Brenan et al (1996). However, it should be noted that the constraint in mechanics, for example, the pendulum example, is a physical constraint, whereas the constraint in other problems, such as a prescribed path problem, is not a physical constraint but rather a part of the performance requirements.

3.1 DAE and its forms

The general DAE system (1) can include problems that are not well-defined in a mathematical sense, as well as problems that will result in failure for any direct discretization method used in conjunction with the system (see the Numerical Solution Section). Most practical higher-index problems can be expressed as a combination of more restrictive structures of ODEs coupled with constraints, which is a welcome relief in many cases. The Hessenberg forms, which are one of the more important classes of systems, are described in greater detail below.

3.1.1 Hessenberg index number one

The Jacobian gz is assumed to be nonsingular for all t in the following equation: This is simply a semi-explicit index-1 DAE system, similar to the one described above. Semi-explicit index-1 DAEs and implicit ODEs are very closely related to one another. After solving for z in the algebraic equation (which can be done in principle using the implicit function theorem), substituting z into the differential equation results in the so-called underlying ODE in y. (although no uniqueness is guaranteed). However, in practise, for a variety of reasons, this procedure is not always recommended for numerical solution in the first place.

3.1.2 Hessenberg index number two

The non-singularity of gyfz is assumed to exist for all t. It should be noted that the algebraic variable z is not present in the second equation. In this DAE, all algebraic variables take on the role of index-2 variables, and there are no index-1 variables. It is given in Ascher et al. that an example arising from modelling incompressible fluid flow with discretized Navier-Stokes equations is given (1998).

IV. Numerical approaches

In general, numerical approaches for the solution of DAEs fall into two categories:
(i) Direct discretizations of the given system and
(ii) Methods that involve a reformulation (e.g. index reduction) in conjunction with a discretization.
When it comes to discretization, the desire for as direct a discretization as possible is motivated by the fact that a reformulation may be costly, it may require more input from the user, and it may involve more user interaction. The reason for the widespread use of reformulation approaches is that, as it turns out, direct discretizations are only useful for a small number of Hessenberg DAE systems, primarily index-1, index-2, and index-3 Hessenberg systems.
Because many DAEs encountered in practical applications are either index-1 or, if higher-index, can be expressed as a simple combination of Hessenberg systems, this is a welcome development. However, even for these restricted classes of problems, some worst-case difficulties can arise, and even the most robust direct applications of numerical ODE methods do not always work as well as one might expect. This is true even for the most robust direct applications of numerical ODE methods. In most cases, when dealing with a DAE with an index greater than two, it is preferable to employ one of the index-reduction techniques to solve the problem in a lower-index form.

4.1 Differential equations, for example,

Singularly perturbed ODE systems are those in which the parameter is a small value. When the parameter 0 is set to zero, the number is designated as the DAE. The stiffness of the system for small is such that it is natural to consider methods for stiff ODEs for the direct discretization of the limit DAE, and for DAEs of the form (1) in general, when dealing with DAEs of the form. In particular, ODE methods with stiff decay, such as BDF and Radau collocation methods, are useful in this application.

V. Conclusion

Generally speaking, a differential-algebraic equation (DAE) is a mathematical equation that involves an unknown function and its derivatives. When expressed in its most general form, a (first order) DAE is given by F(t0,x),x′)=0-to-totf, where x=x(t), the unknown function, and F=F(t,uv) have N components, denoted by x and Fi, respectively, where i=1,2,...,N is the number of components of xi and Fi. Every DAE can be written as a first order DAE, regardless of its complexity. It is customary to use the term DAE to refer to the situation in which the highest derivative, x′, cannot be solved for using the other terms t and x in the case of (1) being viewed as an algebraic relationship between three variables (t and x, x′).

References

[1]. Brenan, K. E., Campbell, S. L. and Petzold, L. R. 1996. *Numerical Solution of Initial-Value Problems in Differential-Algebraic Equations*, SIAM.
[2]. Griepentrog, E. and März, R. 1986. *Differential-Algebraic Equations and Their Numerical Treatment*, Leipzig: Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik 88.
[3]. Hairer, E. and Wanner, G. 1996. *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II: Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag. [Crossref],
[4]. Rabier, P. J. and Rheinboldt, W. C. 2002. "Theoretical and numerical analysis of differential-algebraic equations". In *Handbook of Numerical Analysis*, Edited by: Ciarlet, P. G. Vol. VIII, 183–540. North Holland: Amsterdam. [Crossref],
[5]. Estévez-Schwarz, D. and Tischendorf, C. 2000. Structural analysis of electric circuits and consequences for MNA. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 28: 131–162.
[6]. Günther, M. 2001. A PDAE model for interconnected linear RLC networks. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, 7: 189–203. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®],
[7]. Günther, M. and Feldmann, U. 1999. CAD-Based electric-circuit modeling in industry. I: mathematical Structure and Index of Network Equations. *Surveys on Mathematics for Industry*, 8: 97–129.
[8]. Günther, M. and Feldmann, U. 1999. CAD-Based electric-circuit modeling in industry. II: Impact of Circuit Configurations and Parameters. *Surveys on Mathematics for Industry*, 8: 131–157.
[9]. Riaza, R. 2004. A matrix pencil approach to the local stability analysis of nonlinear circuits. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 32: 23–46.
[10]. Riaza, R. 2006. Time-domain properties of reactive dual circuits. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 34: 317–340. [Crossref],
[11]. Riaza, R. and Tischendorf, C. in press. Qualitative features of matrix pencils and DAEs arising in circuit dynamics. *Dynamical Systems*,
[12]. Riaza, R. and Torres-Ramírez, J. 2005. Nonlinear circuit modelling via nodal methods. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 33: 281–305.
[13]. Tischendorf, C. 1999. Topological index calculation of DAEs in circuit simulation. *Surveys on Mathematics for Industry*, 8: 187–199.
[14]. Tischendorf, C. 2003. "Coupled systems of differential algebraic and partial differential equations in circuit and device simulation. Modeling and numerical analysis". Berlin: Habilitationsschrift, Humboldt-University.
[15]. Tischendorf, C. and Estévez-Schwarz, D. 2001. Mathematical problems in circuit simulation. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, 7: 215–223. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®],
[16]. Kumar, A. and Daoutidis, P. 1999. *Control of Nonlinear Differential Algebraic Equation Systems with Applications to Chemical Processes*, London: Chapman & Hall.

[17]. Ascher U.M.; Petzold L.R. (1998) Computer Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations and Differential-Algebraic Equations. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA.
[18]. Brenan K.E.; Campbell S.L.; Petzold L.R. (1996) Numerical Solution of Initial-Value Problems in Differential-Algebraic Equations. Revised and corrected reprint of the 1989 original, with an additional chapter and additional references. Classics in Applied Mathematics, 14. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA.
[19]. Campbell S.L. and Petzold L.R. (1983) Canonical Forms and Solvable Singular Systems of Differential Equations, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth. 4:517-521
[20]. Hairer E.; Wanner G. (1996) Solving Ordinary Differential Equations. II. Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems. Second edition. Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, 14. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
[21]. Petzold L.R. (1982) Differential/Algebraic Equations are not ODEs, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 3:367-384
[22]. Rabier P.J.; Rheinboldt W.C. (2002) Theoretical and Numerical Analysis of Differential-Algebraic Equations. Handbook of Numerical Analysis, Vol. VIII, 183--540, Handb. Numer. Anal., VIII, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
[23]. Riaza R. (2008), Differential-Algebraic Systems: Analytical Aspects and Circuit Applications, World Scientific, Singapore.
[24]. http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Differential-algebraic_equations.