

Commutativity of Prime Γ -MA-semirings with None-zero Jordan Left Derivations and Left Derivations on Closed Lie Ideals

A. K. Halder

*Department of Mathematics
 University of Rajshahi
 Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh*

Abstract

Let M be a 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring. Then every non-zero Jordan left derivation $D: M \rightarrow M$ makes M commutative. Let L be a closed Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring M . Then Jordan left derivations on L are also left derivations.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30 16W25 16U80.

Keywords: Non-zero Jordan left derivations, left derivations, n -torsion free prime Γ -MA-semirings, closed Lie ideals, commutativity of Γ -MA-semirings.

Date of Submission: 09-12-2022

Date of acceptance: 23-12-2022

I. Introduction

Let $(M, +)$ and $(\Gamma, +)$ be commutative semigroups. M is said to be a Γ -semiring if there exists a map $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ that send the triples (x, α, y) to $x\alpha y$ such that

- (i) $m\alpha(n+r) = man + mar,$
- (ii) $(m+n)\alpha r = mar + nar,$
- (iii) $m(\alpha+\beta)n = man + m\beta n,$
- (iv) $(man)\beta r = m\alpha(n\beta r),$

for all $m, n, r \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

A Γ -semiring M is additively inverse if for every element $m \in M$ there exists a unique element $m' \in M$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad m + m' + m = m \text{ and } m' + m + m' = m'.$$

The following identities are valid:

$$(1.2) \quad (man)' = m'an = man', (m+n)' = m' + n', m'n' = mn, (m')' = m, 0' = 0,$$

$$(1.3) \quad m + n = 0 \text{ implies } n = m' \text{ and } m + m' = 0, \text{ for all } m, n \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

The center of a Γ -semiring M is defined as $Z(M) = \{m \in M : man = nam, \forall n \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$.

An additively inverse Γ -semiring M is said to be a Γ -MA-semiring if

$$(1.4) \quad (m + m')\alpha \in Z(M) \text{ for all } m \in M.$$

The commutator of any elements $m, n \in M$ can be defined as $[m, n]_\alpha = man + n'am$, and $[m, n]_\alpha = 0$ implies $man = nam$.

The following identities in a Γ -MA-semiring M are straightforward:

$$(1.5) \quad [m, n]_\alpha' = [m, n']_\alpha = [m', n]_\alpha, [m', n']_\alpha = [m, n]_\alpha, [m, nam]_\alpha = [m, n]_\alpha \alpha m,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Jacobi Identities: } [man, r]_\alpha = m\alpha[n, r]_\alpha + [m, r]_\alpha \alpha n \text{ and } [m, nar]_\alpha = n\alpha[m, r]_\alpha + [m, n]_\alpha \alpha r, \text{ for all } m, n, r \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

A Γ -MA-semiring M is prime if $maMan = 0$ implies $m = 0$ or $n = 0$ for all $m, n \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. A Γ -MA-semiring M is n -torsion free for $n > 1$ if $nm = 0$ only for $m = 0$ in M . A subsemigroup L of a Γ -MA-semiring M is a closed Lie ideal M if $L\Gamma M, M\Gamma L, [L, M]_\Gamma, L\Gamma L \subseteq L$. An additive mapping $D: M \rightarrow M$ is said to be a left derivation if $D(man) = mad(n) + nad(m)$. D is said to be a Jordan left derivation if

$$(1.7) \quad D(mam) + 2m'\alpha D(m) = 0, \text{ for all } m \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Y. Ceven [10] studied on Jordan left derivations on completely prime Γ -rings. He proved that if a Jordan left derivation on a completely prime Γ -ring is non-zero with an assumption, then the Γ -ring is commutative. He also showed that every Jordan left derivation together with an assumption on a completely prime Γ -ring is a left derivation on it. In this paper, he provided an example of Jordan left derivations on Γ -rings.

Mustafa Asci and Sahin Ceran [8] worked on a nonzero left derivation d on a prime Γ -ring M with an ideal U and the center Z of M such that $d(U) \subseteq U$ and $d^2(U) \subseteq Z$ for which M is commutative. They also showed that M is commutative with the nonzero left derivation d_1 and right derivation d_2 on M such that $d_1(U) \subseteq U$ and $d_1 d_2(U) \subseteq Z$.

A.C.Paul and Amitabh Kumer Halder [1] investigated the existence of a non-zero Jordan left derivation from a Γ -ring M into a 2-torsionfree and 3-torsionfree left ΓM module X that makes M commutative. They also proved that if $X = M$ is a semiprime Γ -ring then the derivation is a mapping from M into its centre and if M is a prime Γ -ring then every Jordan left derivation d on M is a left derivation on M .

H. Hedayati and K. P. Shum [5] introduced Γ -semirings and discussed the congruences and ideals of a Γ -semiring, formation of ideals, homomorphisms on Γ -semirings and commutativity of Γ -semirings.

Yaqoub Ahmed and Wieslaw A. Dudek [11] investigated certain conditions for which a left Jordan derivation on an MA-semiring S is a left derivation and S is commutative.

In this study, we generalize the results of Yaqoub Ahmed and Wieslaw A. Dudek [11] in Γ version. We prove that every non-zero Jordan left derivation D on a 2- and 3-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring M implies the commutativity of M . We also show that if L is a closed Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring M then every Jordan left derivations on L is also a left derivation on L .

II. Properties of Jordan Left Derivations on Lie Ideals

Lemma 2.1: Let M be an additively inverse Γ -MA-semiring and $D: M \rightarrow M$ be an additive mapping. Then $D(m') = D(m)'$ for all $m \in M$.

Proof: Since M is additively inverse, for every $m \in M$ there exist a uniquely determined $m' \in M$ such that $m + m' + m = m$. This gives $D(m) + D(m') + D(m) = D(m)$ and $D(m') + D(m) + D(m') = D(m')$ yield $D(m') = D(m)'$ for all $m \in M$.

Lemma 2.2: Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -MA-semiring and $D: M \rightarrow M$ be Jordan left derivation. Then

- (a) $[m, m]_{\alpha} \alpha D(m) = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.
- (b) If M is prime, then $D(m + m') = 0$, for all $m \in M$.

Proof: (a): We use the consequence of additively inverse: $m + n = 0$ implies $n = m'$ such that $m + m' = 0$ and the definition of Jordan left derivation to get

$2(m + m') \alpha D(m) = 0$ implies $(m + m') \alpha D(m) = 0$ since M is 2-torsion free. This gives $m \alpha (m + m') \alpha D(m) = 0$ yielding $m, m \alpha \alpha D(m) = 0$ for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Finally, by using (1.2), (1.4), we get $m \alpha [n, n]_{\alpha} \alpha D(m) = 0$, for all $m, n \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

(b): We use (1.3) and (1.7) to get $(m + m') \alpha D(m) = 0$ which implies $m \alpha M \alpha (D(m) + D(m')) = 0$ by (1.2) and (1.4). Since M is semiprime, $D(m + m') = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.3: Let L be a closed Lie ideal of Γ -MA-semiring M and $d: L \rightarrow L$ be a Jordan left derivation. Then

- (a) $d(xay + yax) = 2xad(y) + 2yad(x)$,
- (b) $d(xayax) = xaxad(y) + 3xayad(x) + yaxad(x)'$,
- (c) $[x, x]_{\alpha} \alpha d(y) = 0$,
- (d) $d(xayaz + zayax) = (xaz + zax) \alpha d(y) + 3xayad(z) + 3zayad(x) + yaxad(z)' + yazad(x)'$,
- (e) $[x, y]_{\alpha} \alpha xad(x) + x' \alpha [x, y]_{\alpha} \alpha d(x) = 0$,
- (f) $[x, y]_{\alpha} \alpha (d(xay) + xad(y)' + yad(x)') = 0$,

for all $x, y, z \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof: (a): By (1.3) and (1.7), we have

$$d(xax) = 2xad(x), \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing x by $x + y$ in the equation (1) and then adding $2x'ad(x) + 2y'ad(y)$ after that using (1.3) and (1.7), we get

$$d(xay + yax) = 2xad(y) + 2yad(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in L \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

(b): Since L is a closed Lie ideal of M , $(xay + yax) \in L$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Replacing y by $xay + yax$ in (a), we get

$$d(x\alpha(xay + yax) + (xay + yax)\alpha x) = 4xaxad(y) + 6xayad(x) + 2yaxad(x), (2)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Again, by (a) and (1.7), we get

$$d(x\alpha(xay + yax) + (xay + yax)\alpha x) = 2xaxad(y) + 4xayad(x) + 2d(xayax) \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

By (1.3) and (1.7), we get $d(xay + yax) + d(xay + yax)' = 0$ giving

$$d(x\alpha(xay + yax) + (xay + yax)\alpha x) + d(x\alpha(xay + yax) + (xay + yax)\alpha x)' = 0, (4)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Since M is 2-torsion free, by equations (2), (3), (4), and ((1.1) with $m = xaxad(y)$), we get

$$xaxad(y) + yaxad(x)' + 3xayad(x) + d(xayax)' = 0, \quad (5)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now, equation (5) with (1.3) implies (b).

(c): By applying $[x, x]_\alpha ad(y) = xaxad(y) + xaxad(y)' = 0$ together with equation (5) and (1.3), we get $[x, x]_\alpha ad(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

(d): We replace x and $x + z$ in equation (5) to get

$$d((x+z)\alpha y \alpha (x+z))' + xaxad(y) + (xaz + zax)ad(y) + zazad(y) + yaxad(x)' + yaxad(z)' + yazad(x)' + yazad(z)' + 3xayad(x) + 3zayad(x) + 3xayad(z) + 3zayad(z) = 0, \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Again, by $d((x+z)\alpha y \alpha (x+z)) = d(xaya) + d(zayaz) + d(xayaz + zayax)$ and (b),

we get

$$d((x+z)\alpha y \alpha (x+z)) = xaxad(y) + 3xayad(x) + y'axad(x) + zazad(y) + 3zayad(z) + y'azad(z) + d(xayaz + zayax), \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Using (1.3) in equation (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} axaxad(y) + xaxad(y)' &= zazad(y) + zazad(y)' = 3xayad(x) + 3xayad(x)' \\ &= 3zayad(z) + 3zayad(z)' = yaxad(x) + yaxad(x)' = yazad(z) + yazad(z)' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the above equations together with equations (6) and (7) to get

$$d(xayaz + zayax)' + (xaz + zax)ad(y) + 3xayad(z) + 3zayad(x) + yaxad(z)' + yazad(x)' = 0, \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, which gives (d).

(e): Since L is a closed Lie ideal, $xay \in L$ for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing z by xay in equation (8), we get

$$d((xay)\alpha(xay) + xayayax)' + (xaxay + xayax)ad(y) + 3xayad(xay) + 3xayayad(x) + yaxad(xay)' + yaxayad(x)' = 0, \quad (9)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Applying (1.7) in (b), we get

$$d((xay)\alpha(xay) + xayayax) = 2xayad(xay) + 2xaxayad(y) + 3xayayad(x) + yayaxad(x)', \quad \text{which}$$

yields with (1.1) and equation (9) as

$$x\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(y)' + [x, y]_\alpha ad(xay) + y\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(x)' = 0, \quad (10)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Writing $x + y$ for y in equation (10) and using (c) and the fact d is a Jordan left derivation, we get

$$2[x, y]_\alpha axad(x) + [x, y]_\alpha ad(xay) + 2x\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(x)' + x\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(y)' + y\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(x)' = 0.$$

Applying the above equation with equation (10) and the fact that M is 2-torsion free, we get $[x, y]_\alpha axad(x) + x'\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(x) = 0$.

(f): We replace x by $x + y$ in (e) and then use the condition $[x, x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$ and Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y]_\alpha axad(y) + [x, y]_\alpha ayad(x) + [x, y]_\alpha ayad(y) + x'\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(y) + y'\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(x) \\ + y'\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (10) and (1.3), we get

$$x'\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(y) + y'\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(x) = [x, y]_\alpha ad(xay)', \quad \text{and so by (e), (1.2) and (1.5), we get}$$

$$[x, y]_\alpha ayad(y) + y'\alpha[x, y]_\alpha ad(y) = 0 \quad \text{which gives (f).}$$

Lemma 2.4 Let L be a closed Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free Γ -MA-semiring M and $d: L \rightarrow L$ be a Jordan left derivation. Then

(a) $[x, y]_\alpha ad([x, y]_\alpha) = 0,$

(b) $(yaxax + 2xayax + xaxay)ad(y) = 0,$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof: (a): By Lemma 2.3(f), we get

$$d[x, y]_\alpha \alpha (d(y'ax) + xad(y) + yad(x)) = 0 \quad (11)$$

and

$$[x, y]_\alpha \alpha (d(xay) + xad(y') + yad(x')) = 0, \quad (12)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

We use Lemma 2.2(b) after adding the equations (11) and (12) to get

$$[x, y]_\alpha ad([x, y]_\alpha) = 0.$$

(b): Using the fact L is a closed Lie ideal, (1.7) and (a), we get

$$d(x\alpha(yaxay) + (yaxay)ax) + d(x'ayayax + y'axaxay) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3(a), we have

$$2xad(yaxay) + 2yaxayad(x) + d(x'ayayax + y'axaxay) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3(b) and (1.7) with $2x + 3x' = x'$ and $4x' + x = 3x'$, we get $x' \alpha y \alpha y \alpha d(x) + 6x \alpha y \alpha x \alpha d(y) + 3x \alpha x \alpha y' \alpha d(y) + 2y \alpha x \alpha y \alpha d(x) + y \alpha y \alpha x' \alpha d(x) + 3y \alpha x \alpha x d(y)' = 0$, which yields by (1.2)

$$3(y \alpha x \alpha x \alpha + 2x \alpha y' \alpha x + x \alpha x \alpha y) \alpha d(y) + (x \alpha y \alpha y + 2y \alpha x' \alpha y + y \alpha y \alpha x) \alpha d(x) = 0, \quad (13)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Applying Lemma 2.3(e) with replacement of x by $x + y$, (1.3) and $x + x' + x = x$, we get

$$((x \alpha x \alpha y + 2x \alpha y' \alpha x + y \alpha x \alpha x) + (y \alpha y \alpha x' + x' \alpha y \alpha y + 2y \alpha x \alpha y)) \alpha d(x + y) = 0, \quad (14)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.3(e) can be written as

$$(y \alpha x \alpha x + x \alpha x \alpha y + 2x \alpha y' \alpha x) \alpha d(x) = 0, \quad (15)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

From (14) and (15), we have

$$(x \alpha x \alpha y + 2x \alpha y' \alpha x + y \alpha x \alpha x) \alpha d(y) + (y \alpha y \alpha + x \alpha y \alpha y + 2y \alpha x' \alpha y) \alpha d(x) = 0, \quad (16)$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Adding equations (13) and (16) and then Lemma 2.2(b) and the fact M is 2-torsion free, we get

$$(y \alpha x \alpha x + 2x \alpha y' \alpha x + x \alpha x \alpha y) \alpha d(y) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.5 Let L be a closed Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring M such that $[L, L]_\Gamma \neq 0$. Then

- (a) The ideal generated by $M\Gamma[L, L]_\Gamma M$ is contained L ,
- (b) $[[I, M]_\Gamma, I]_\Gamma \neq 0$, where I is an ideal of M contained in L ,
- (c) L is prime.

Proof: (a): Since L is a closed Lie ideal, $[x, y]_\alpha, [x, m]_\alpha, [x, y \alpha m]_\alpha, y \alpha [x, m]_\alpha, [x, y \alpha m]_\alpha + y' \alpha [x, m]_\alpha \in L$ for all $m \in M, x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now, using (1.6) and (1.4), we get

$$[x, y \alpha m]_\alpha + y' \alpha [x, m]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha \alpha m \in \Gamma.$$

By (1.1), (1.2), (1.4) and (1.5), we get

$$[[x, y]_\alpha \alpha m, n]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha \alpha m \alpha n' = n \alpha [y, x]_\alpha \alpha m,$$

for all $m, n \in M, x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus $M\Gamma[L, L]_\Gamma M \subseteq L$.

If $I = \langle M\Gamma[L, L]_\Gamma M \rangle$ is an ideal generated by $M\Gamma[L, L]_\Gamma M$ with $[L, L]_\Gamma \neq 0$, then $I \neq 0$. Thus, elements of I are finite sums of elements $m_i \alpha [x_i, y_i]_\alpha \alpha n_i \in M\Gamma[L, L]_\Gamma M \subseteq L$, and so $I \subseteq L$.

(b): If $[[I, M]_\Gamma, I]_\Gamma = 0$ then $[I, M]_\Gamma \subseteq Z(M)$, and so $[x, m]_\alpha \alpha x = [x, m \alpha x]_\alpha$, where I is defined as in the proof of (a). Since $[x, m \alpha x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, $m' \alpha [x, m]_\alpha \alpha x = [x, m \alpha x]_\alpha \alpha m'$, and so by (1.5), we get $[x, m]_\alpha \alpha [m, x]_\alpha = 0$ yielding $[x, m]_\alpha \Gamma M \Gamma [m, x]_\alpha = 0$. Then $[m, x]_\alpha = 0$ and in general $[I, M]_\Gamma = 0$. Since I is an ideal, $[x, m]_\alpha \alpha i = 0$ for all $m \in M, x \in L, i \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus, $[L, M]_\Gamma \Gamma I = 0$, and so $[L, L]_\Gamma \Gamma I = 0$ yielding $[L, L]_\Gamma \Gamma M \Gamma I = 0$. Since M is prime and $I \neq 0$, we have $[L, L]_\Gamma = 0$, which contradicts our supposition. Therefore, $[[I, M]_\Gamma, I]_\Gamma \neq 0$.

(c): Let $L \Gamma m = 0$ for some nonzero $m \in M$. Then $[L, M]_\Gamma \Gamma m \subseteq L \Gamma m = 0$. Then we have $[x, n]_\alpha \alpha r \alpha m = 0$ for all $n, r \in M, x \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then $[L, M]_\Gamma \Gamma M \Gamma m = 0$ gives $[L, M]_\Gamma = 0$ in particular, $[L, L]_\Gamma = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $L \Gamma m \neq 0$ for every nonzero $m \in M$.

We consider I as defined in the proof of (a). If $x \alpha L \alpha y = 0$ for some nonzero $x, y \in L$, then by (1.6), we get $x \alpha i \alpha x \alpha m \alpha z \alpha y = 0$ for $m \in M, z \in L, i \in I$, and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus, $x \Gamma I \Gamma x \Gamma M \Gamma L \Gamma y = 0$. Since $L \Gamma m \neq 0$ for every nonzero $m \in M$ and M is prime, $x \Gamma I \Gamma x = 0$. Since $x \Gamma I \Gamma M \Gamma x \subseteq x \Gamma I \Gamma x = 0$, either $x \Gamma I = 0$ or $x = 0$.

Now, $x \Gamma I = 0$ implies $x \Gamma M \Gamma I = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $I = 0$.

Now, $I = 0$ implies $M\Gamma[L, L]_\Gamma M = 0$ implies $M\Gamma[L, L]_\Gamma = 0$. Thus, $[L, L]_\Gamma \Gamma M \Gamma [L, L]_\Gamma = 0$, and so $[L, L]_\Gamma = 0$. This contradicts the assumption. So $x = 0$. Therefore L is prime.

III. Commutativity of torsion free prime Γ -MA-semirings with Jordan left derivations and closed Lie ideals with left derivations

Lemma 3.1: Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -MA-semiring and $D: M \rightarrow M$ be a Jordan left derivation. Then elements of the form $[m, [m, n]_\alpha]_\alpha$ for all $m, n \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $D(m) \neq 0$ is nilpotent of index 2.

Proof: Suppose $D(m) \neq 0$ for some $m \in M$. Then by Lemma 2.3(e) and (1.2), we have $[m, [m, n]_\alpha]_\alpha \alpha D(m) = 0$,

$$(17)$$

for all $n \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing $n = r \alpha s$ in (17) and then using (1.6) with right Jacobi identity and again by (17)

$$([m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha \alpha r + 2[m, r]_\alpha \alpha [m, s]_\alpha) \alpha d(m) = 0$$

We write $[m, s \alpha t]_\alpha$ for s in the above equation and use the equation (17) to get

$$[m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha \alpha [m, s \alpha t]_\alpha \alpha d(m) = 0, \text{ for all } r, s, t \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

By equation (16), we have

$$([m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha \alpha s \alpha [m, t]_\alpha + [m, [m, s]_\alpha]_\alpha \alpha [m, s]_\alpha \alpha t) \alpha d(m) = 0, \quad (18)$$

for all $r, s, t \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now using $t = [m, t]_\alpha$ in equation (17) and then use it in equation (18) after putting $s = [m, s]_\alpha$, we get $[m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha \alpha [m, [m, s]_\alpha]_\alpha \alpha t \alpha d(m) = 0$, which is true for all $m, r, s, t \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and so $(([m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha) \alpha)^2 [m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha \Gamma M \Gamma D(m) = 0$. Since M is prime and $D(m) \neq 0$, $(([m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha) \alpha)^2 [m, [m, r]_\alpha]_\alpha = 0$ for all $r \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Replacing r by n , we have $(([m, [m, n]_\alpha]_\alpha) \alpha)^2 [m, [m, n]_\alpha]_\alpha = 0$, and so $[m, [m, n]_\alpha]_\alpha$ is nilpotent of index 2.

Lemma 3.2: Let M be a 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free Γ -MA-semiring and $D: M \rightarrow M$ be a Jordan left derivation. Then $D(m) = 0$ for all $m \in M$ such that $(m\alpha)^2 m = 0$.

Proof: Suppose that $(m\alpha)^2 m = mam = 0$. Then $D((m\alpha)^2 m) = D(mam) = 2m\alpha D(m) = 0$. Since M is 2-torsion free, $2m\alpha D(m) = 0$, and by Lemma 2.3(b), we get

$$D(m\alpha(ramas + samar)\alpha m) = 3m\alpha r\alpha m\alpha D(m) + 3m\alpha s\alpha m\alpha D(m), \quad (19)$$

for all $m, r, s \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Again, $D(masam) = 3mas\alpha D(m)$. Then by Lemma 2.3(b) and (d), we get

$$D(m\alpha(ramas + samar)\alpha m) = 9m\alpha r\alpha m\alpha D(m) + 3m\alpha s\alpha m\alpha D(m), \quad (20)$$

for all $m, r, s \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

By equations (19) and (20), we have

$$3[mar, mas]_\alpha \alpha (D(m) + D(m)') + 6m\alpha r\alpha m\alpha D(m)' = 0.$$

Since M is 2- and 3-torsion free, by Lemma 2.2(b), we get $m\alpha r\alpha m\alpha D(m) = 0$ for all $r, s \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and so $m\Gamma M \Gamma (m\Gamma M \Gamma D(m)) = 0$. Since M is prime and $m \neq 0$, we have $m\Gamma M \Gamma D(m) = 0$ implies $D(m) = 0$.

Theorem 3.3: Let M be 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring and $D: M \rightarrow M$ be a non-zero Jordan left derivation. Then M is commutative.

Proof: Let $D: M \rightarrow M$ be a non-zero Jordan left derivation such that $D(m) \neq 0$ for $m(\neq 0) \in M$. Then by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2,

$$D(mamar + ramam) + 2D(maram)' = 0,$$

for all $r \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

By Lemma 2.3(a) and (b) and (1.3), we get

$$6(ram + m'ar)\alpha D(m) = 0, \text{ and since } M \text{ is 2- and 3-torsion free, } [r, m]_\alpha \alpha D(m) = 0, \quad (21)$$

for all $m, r \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Writing r by sar in equation (21) and using first Jacobi identity, we get

$$[s, m]_\alpha \alpha r\alpha D(m) = 0 \text{ and so } [s, m]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } s \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and so } m \in Z(M).$$

Now, we consider

$$P_1 = \{m \in M : D(m) \neq 0\} \subseteq Z(M)$$

and $P_2 = \{m \in M : D(m) = 0\}$.

Consider an element $m_1 + m_2$ such that $m_1 \in P_1$ and $m_2 \in P_2$. Suppose $(m_1 + m_2) \in P_2$. Then $D(m_1 + m_2) = D(m_1) + D(m_2) = D(m_1) = 0$, a contradiction. So, $(m_1 + m_2) \in P_1$, and hence $[s, m_2]_\alpha = [s, m_1 + m_2]_\alpha = 0$ for each $s \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ implies $P_2 \subseteq Z(M)$. Therefore, M is commutative.

Corollary 3.4: Let M be a 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free non-commutative Γ -MA-semiring and $D: M \rightarrow M$ be a Jordan left derivation. Then $D = 0$.

Theorem 3.5: Let L be a closed Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring M and $d: L \rightarrow L$ be a Jordan left derivation. Then d is left derivation on L .

Proof: Suppose L is a closed Lie ideal of M . Let $[L, L]_\Gamma = 0$. Then $x\alpha y = y\alpha x$, for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and so $d(x\alpha x) + 2x'\alpha d(x) = 0$, (22)

for all $x \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing x by $x + y$ in equation (22), we get

$$2d(x\alpha y) + 2x'\alpha d(y) + 2y'\alpha d(x) = 0.$$

Since M is 2-torsion free, $d(x\alpha y) + x'\alpha d(y) + y'\alpha d(x) = 0$. By (1.3), we get

$$d(x\alpha y) = x\alpha d(y) + y\alpha d(x).$$

Suppose $[L, L]_\Gamma \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 2.3(e), we get $(x\alpha x\alpha y + 2x\alpha y'\alpha x + y\alpha x\alpha x)\alpha d(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Putting $x = [w, z]_\alpha$ and then using Lemma 2.4(a) with $[x, y]_\alpha \alpha d([x, y]_\alpha) = 0$, we get $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha [w, z]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$ for all $x, y, z, w \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. This implies that $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha [w, z]_\alpha \alpha \Gamma L \Gamma d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$, and so by Lemma 2.5, we get either $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha [w, z]_\alpha = 0$ or $([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$. If $d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$, then d is a left derivation. If $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha [w, z]_\alpha = 0$, then by Lemma 2.4(b) with $y = [w, z]_\alpha$ and then using Lemma 2.4(a), we have

$$(2x\alpha [w, z]_\alpha \alpha x + [w, z]_\alpha \alpha x\alpha x)\alpha d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0, \quad (23)$$

$x, z, w \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing x by $x + y$ in equation (23) and then by Lemma 2.4(a) after replacing x by $l\alpha [w, z]_\alpha$ and $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha [w, z]_\alpha = 0$, we have $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha l\alpha [w, z]_\alpha \alpha y\alpha d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$ all $l, y \in L$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha L\alpha [w, z]_\alpha \alpha L\alpha d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$ which implies either $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha L\alpha [w, z]_\alpha = 0$ or $d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$. If

$d([w, z]_\alpha) = 0$, then d is a left derivation. If $[w, z]_\alpha \alpha L \alpha [w, z]_\alpha = 0$, then by Lemma 2.5(c), we get $[w, z]_\alpha = 0$. Thus $waz = z\alpha w$ and so d is a left derivation on L .

Corollary 3.6: Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring. Then every Jordan left derivation D on M is a left derivation on D .

IV. Discussion

We use non-zero Jordan left derivations on 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semirings M to show the commutativity of M whereas A. C. Paul and Amitabh Kumer Halder [1] use non-zero Jordan left derivations from Γ -rings M into 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free left ΓM module X to prove commutativity of M . Also, we investigate left derivations on closed Lie ideals L of 2-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring M whereas A. C. Paul and Amitabh Kumer Halder [1] study left derivations on M under the condition that $X = M$ is a semiprime.

V. Conclusion

Non-zero Jordan left derivations on 2-torsion free prime Γ -MA-semirings M guarantee the commutativity of M for $n=2, 3$. Closed Lie ideals L of n -torsion free prime Γ -MA-semiring M are responsible for transforming Jordan left derivations on L to left derivations for $n=2$.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1]. Paul, A. C. and Halder, A. K. (2009), "Jordan Left Derivations of Two Torsion Free ΓM – Modules", J. of Physical Sci. (ISSN: 0972-8791), vol. 13, pp. 13-19.
- [2]. Paul, A. C. and Halder, A. K. (2010), "On Left Derivatives of Γ -rings", Bull. Pure Appl. Math., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 320-328.
- [3]. Halder, A. K. (2021), "Commutativity of Prime Near Γ -rings with Nonzero Reverse σ -derivations and Derivations", IRES (ISSN: 2320-9364(O), 2320-9356(P)), vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 1-11.
- [4]. Ibraheem, A. M. (2018), "The Commutativity of Prime Near Rings", I. J. of Research (ISSN: 2350-0530(O), 2394-3629(P)), vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 339-345.
- [5]. Hedayati, H. and Shum, K. P. (2011), "An Introduction to Γ -Semirings", Int. J. of Algebra, vol. 5, no. 15, pp. 709-726.
- [6]. Dey, K. K. and Paul, A. C. (2012), "On Derivations in Prime Gamma Near-Rings", GANIT J. Bangladesh Math. Soc. (ISSN: 1606-3694), vol. 32, pp. 23-28.
- [7]. Bresar, M and Vukman, J (1990), "On the left derivations and related mappings", Proc. of the AMS., vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 7-16.
- [8]. Acsi, M. and Ceran, S. (2007), "The commutativity in prime gamma rings with left derivations", In. Math. Forum, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 103-108.
- [9]. Barnes, W.E. (1966), "On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa", Pacific J. Math., vol. 18, pp. 411-422.
- [10]. Ceven, Y. (2002), "Jordan left derivations on completely prime gamma rings", C.U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi, Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, Cilt 23 Sayı 2.
- [11]. Ahmed, Y. and Dudek, W. A. (2021), "Left Jordan derivations on certain semirings", Hacet. J. Math. Stat., vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 624 – 633.