

# Experimental Investigation of NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction in Biodiesel Blends

Dr.M.Varathavijayan<sup>1\*</sup>, P.Vinothraja<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical engineering, Latha Mathavan Engineering College/Anna University, Chennai.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical engineering, Latha Mathavan Engineering College/Anna University, Chennai.

## Abstract

Rapid increase in the rate of fossil fuel depletion has raised concerns all over the world. People are starting to use alternative renewable fuels along with the fossil fuels. One of the largely used alternative fuels is the biodiesel, which can be used directly along with the diesel without making much modification to the engine. The commonly observed disadvantages while using biodiesel- diesel blends are increased emission. The aim of this work is to study the effect of adding nanoparticles in a biodiesel- diesel blend on the performance and emission attributes of a diesel engine. Biodiesel obtained from orange peel, corn, mustard, neem, mahua, cashew nut shell oil is used in this paper. It is observed that the fuel consumption and emissions such as NO, CO and smoke are decreased for these blends.

**Keywords:** EMISSION, BIODIESEL, FUELS, ADDITIVES, DIESEL ENGINE

Date of Submission: 15-10-2022

Date of acceptance: 31-10-2022

## I. INTRODUCTION

The economy of any country is advanced by the operationalization of a better transportation system as the average consumption of energy by the transport sector increases 1.1% per year. The industrialization has led to an increase in energy consumption and demand. In the early stages to meet the energy demand crude oil was used as an alternative, and the world faced a shortage of crude oil from 1970. To resolve this issue, researchers and scientists around the world effectuated the research on developing alternative fuels. The population growth has led to the increased usage of automobiles resulting in the increase of harmful emission gases to the earth's atmosphere. Biodiesel combustion curtails the emission gases such as carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide, unburned hydrocarbon, and nitrogen oxides, compared to conventional diesel fuel. Many researchers have reported that biodiesel and traditional diesel have indistinguishable physical and chemical properties. The use of biodiesel had an increasing trend because of its compatibility with a diesel engine without any modifications. To study the Influence of water on Orange peel oil and Corn oil biodiesel, Effect of oxygenated additive on mustard biodiesel, Effect of higher alcohol in Neembiodiesel, Role of nano additives (CNT & CeO<sub>2</sub>) on Neem biodiesel, CeO<sub>2</sub> on Mahua oil and ZnO on Cashew nut shell oil Effect of preheating the Cashew nut shell oil biodiesel on Emission

## VEGETABLE OIL BIODIESEL

**Table 1: Fuel Samples**

DIESEL					
BIO DIESEL	Orange Peel Oil Biodiesel	BD100	BD95W5	BD90W10	
	Corn Oil Biodiesel	COBD	COBDE1	COBDE2	
	Mustard Biodiesel	B100	BD90DTBP10	BD80DTBP20	
	Neem Biodiesel	NBD	NBD + 50ppm CNT		NBD+100ppm CNT
		NBD	NBD+10nmCeO <sub>2</sub>		NBD+20nmCeO <sub>2</sub>
		NBD	NBD90A10		NBD80A20
	Mahua oil biodiesel	MOBD	MOBDCeO <sub>2</sub> 100	MOBDCeO <sub>2</sub> 200	
	Cashew nut shell oil biodiesel	BD100	BD100T70		BD100T80, BD100T90
		BD100	BD100ZnO10 (10nm)	BD100ZnO2 (20nm)	

### **Orange peel oil biodiesel:**

Materials and Methods of Test Fuel Preparation:

Oil is extracted from orange peels by Steam distillation process

Additives Water 5% , Biodiesel 95%, Water 10%, Biodiesel 90%, Neat Biodiesel 100%

Diesel

Experimental Setup:

Engine – Common rail, 1 cylinder and Direct Injection diesel engine (Power 4.2kw and 1300rpm)

Test Fuel Preparation:

Orange peel oil – extraction by steam distillation process

1.2 kg of Orange peels are placed in the steam chamber and heated to 110°C

Orange peel oil was separated from the mixture due to its density difference

1.2 kg of orange peel yielded 700 ml of oil

600 gm sample of oil in the reactor was heated to 75°C

Transesterification process:

Molar ratio is 6:1 (methanol to oil)

Catalysts 0.25% (wt/wt) to biodiesel

Orange oil biodiesel is separated

Properties of test fuels estimated according to ASTM standards

Results and Discussion:

Carbon Monoxide Emission:

CO emission (from BD100, BD95W5, BD90W10) is comparatively lower than of diesel

Availability of Oxygen in blends of biodiesel and water

Accelerates oxidation reaction

Reduces CO emission

CO emission decreases with increase in water content for biodiesel

CO emission – 8.8% lower for BD95W5 and 11.16% lower for BD90W10 as compared to BD100

Low viscosity of BD95W5 of BD90W10 boosts evaporation and reduce CO emission

Unburned Hydrocarbon Emission:

HC emission formed by

excess assimilation of air and fuel

Poor mixing of fuel injection at the end of combustion process

Fuel impingement on the combustion chamber walls

HC emission for BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 is lower than that of diesel at all

Brakepower

BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 contain lower carbon atom and enriched oxygen –

promotes combustion and lower HC emission

Addition of water (in BD95W5, BD90W10 ) has reduced the unburned hydrocarbon

emission

Oxides of Nitrogen Emission:

Depends on oxygen content and the mass of fuel burned

NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 are higher than that of diesel

Higher emission due to rich availability of oxygen in blends of biodiesel and water

Inherent oxygen content in BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 accelerates the oxidation

reaction and increase NO<sub>x</sub>

Emission for BD95W5, BD90W10 is inferior than that of BD100 at all conditions

Water particle in BD95W5 and BD90W10 reduces the gas combustion temperature and

lower NO<sub>x</sub> emission

Smoke Opacity:

Smoke emission is lower than that of diesel at all brakepower

BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 contains lower carbon atom and enriched oxygen

(promotes the combustion and lower smoke emissions)

Addition of water to bio diesel reduces smoke

Water concentration increases evaporation and result in over smoke emission for BD95W5,

BD90W10 and BD100

Conclusion:

Neat fuel shall be used as a neat fuel in an unmodified diesel engine

BD95W5 and BD90W10 exhibit lower HC and CO  
Maximum reduction of 8.8% of HC and 10.1% of CO obtained  
Presence of water  
Increases evaporation tendency  
Resulted in complete combustion  
Lesser HC and CO emission  
NOx and smoke emission reduced largely for BD90W10  
Maximum reduction 12.4% of NOx and 18.4 % of smoke emission for BD90W10 (as compared to BD100)  
Water particle helps to reduce the peak temperature inside the cylinder by absorbing the heat energy during the combustion

### **Corn oil biodiesel**

Materials and Methods:

Test Fuel Preparation:

Edible Corn Oil is converted to biodiesel by transesterification process

Additives - water

Emulsion Preparation:

Emulsion is prepared by changing the proportion of surfactants and water

Experimental Setup:

Engine – Water cooled naturally aspirated stationary application diesel engine

(Power 4.2 kW)

Results and Discussion:

Brake Thermal Efficiency:

BTE is lower than diesel owing to its lower heating value

BTE (of COBD, COBDE1, COBDE2) is lower than diesel owing to its lower heating value

BTE (of COBDE1, COBDE2) is higher than COBD at all testing conditions. Water content in COBDE1 and COBDE2 conversion to superheated steam and produces more power (increases fuel efficiency at all engine loads)

This is because of the heat sink effect of water present in the biodiesel

Fuel with lower viscosity (COBDE1 and COBDE2) assist the combustion process as it combines the fuel with air and produces higher BTE.

Brake-Specific Fuel Consumption:

BSFC reduces with BMEP for all tested fuel samples

BSFC of diesel was lower than that of other test fuels (COBDE1, COBDE2)

BSFC for COBDE1 and COBDE2 was lower than neat COBD.

Primary reason for the behavior is due to water content in COBDE1 and COBDE2 which converts into superheated steam and produces more power,

thus reducing the fuel consumption rate

Fuel with lower viscosity (COBDE1 and COBDE2) assists the combustion process as it combines the fuel with air and produces lower BSFC

CO Emission:

CO emissions are comparatively lesser than that of diesel

CO emissions are comparatively lesser than that of diesel

Abundant availability of inbuilt oxygen in COBD and water blends

Inherent Oxygen content of COBD and water blends also accelerates the oxidation reaction and reduces the CO emission

CO emission decreases with the increase in water content for corn biodiesel.

CO emission for COBDE1 is 7.2% lower and for COBDE2 was 9.6% lower than that of COBD

Low viscosity of COBD and water blends promotes evaporation process and decrease CO emission.

Fuel with lower viscosity aids in better evaporation of fuel with air (results in improved combustion and lower CO emission)

Unburned HC Emission:

HC emissions for neat COBD and its water blends are lower than that of diesel at BMEP

Formation of unburned HC in a diesel engine is due to flammability region during the ignition delay period, poor mixing of fuel injection

at the end of the combustion process and fuel impingement on the combustion chamber walls.

HC emission for neat COBD and its water blends are lower than those of diesel at BMEP

The inherent oxygen content of neat COBD and water blends promotes the combustion process and lower HC

emissions

The addition of water into COBD (COBDE1 and COBDE2) reduces the unburned HC

emissions

The presence of water particles in the biodiesel accelerates the heat sink which in turn lowers the HC emission during emulsified fuel operation

Water in biodiesel increases the evaporation process and results in complete combustion and low HC emission

NOx Emissions:

NOx emissions from COBD and its water blends are higher than that of diesel at all

Conditions

Smoke Opacity

BSFC reduces with BMEP for all tested fuel samples.

BSFC of diesel was lower than that of other test fuels (COBDE1, COBDE2)

Conclusion:

Inclusion of water particles at different proportions to orangepeeloil biodiesel and emission parameters is studied

BTE of COBD is 25.1% COBDE1 is 26.4 % COBDE2 is 26.8 and diesel fuel is 29%

BSFC of COBDE1 and COBDE2 is reduced with addition of water to the biodiesel

COBDE1 and COBDE2 exhibit lower HC and CO emission (as compared to COBD)

Maximum reduction - 7.2% of HC and 9.6% of CO emission

Water increases evaporation tendency resulting in complete combustion

NOx and smoke emission of the biofuel are largely reduced for COBDE2 (as compared to COBD)

Maximum reduction – 6.6 % of NOx and 4.2 % of smoke emission

Water in biodiesel reduces the temperature of combustion and absorbs heat energy during combustion

### Mustard biodiesel

Materials and Methods

Properties of test fuel:

The properties of BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, B100 and diesel are evaluated as per ASTM D6751.

Addition of DTBP to biodiesel reduces viscosity by 13.2%

Cetane index of biodiesel is higher than that of biofuels due to its shorter chain length

Density of B100 is 5.7% higher than diesel due to its weight and molecular structure

The calorific value of B100 is 9.5% lower than diesel

Experimental set-up (engine testing)

A water-cooled and naturally aspirated stationary application diesel engine of rated power 4.2 kW was subjected to emission testing.

Pollution from the exhaust tailpipe were measured using AVL di-gas gas analyzer and smoke was measured using AVL smoke meter in BSU

Comparison of emission parameters was conducted using neat biodiesel (B100), BD90DTBP10 and BD80DTBP20 with the baseline

operation of the engine i.e. with neat diesel.

Overall uncertainty =  $\sqrt{\{(\text{uncertainty of CO})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of NOx})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of HC})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of Smoke})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of BTE})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of BSFC})^2\}}$

=  $\sqrt{\{(0.54)^2 + (0.61)^2 + (0.44)^2 + (0.58)^2 + (0.34)^2 + (0.55)^2\}}$

Results and Discussion:

Carbon Monoxide emissions:

BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, B100 produces 4.14%, 6.26% and 3.55% respectively

Lower CO emissions than the diesel

The DTBP addition with B100 lowers the CO emission

CO emission reduce linearly with increase in proportion of DTBP.

The possible reason – lower chain of carbon atoms in its structure and improved ignition quality of DTBP in modified fuels.

The oxygen content of BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 resulted in the reduction of CO emissions

The maximum CO emission for diesel was 3.0 g/kWh, 2.8 g/kWh for B100, 2.6 g/kWh for BD90DTBP10, 2.3 g/kWh for BD80DTBP20,

at maximum BMEP (6bar)

Unburnt hydrocarbon emissions:

HC emissions increase for all test fuels with BMEP

HC emissions for biofuels are lower than diesel at all BMEP.

The oxygen content of BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, B100 leads to complete combustion, and hence BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20,

B100 produces 6.19%, 8.97%, and 4.41 % lower emission than diesel

The DTBP addition with B100 reduces the HC emission significantly.

The maximum HC emission for diesel was 0.44 g/kWh, 0.38 g/kWh for B100, 0.44 g/kWh for BD90DTBP10, 0.44 g/kWh for BD80DTBP20

at maximum brake mean effective pressure (6 bar) .

Smoke emission:

Smoke emissions increase with BMEP for all test fuels.

Smoke emissions for BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 are lower than the diesel at all BMEP

BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 produce 6.14%, 8.1%, and 5.41% lower smoke emission than the diesel (at peak load condition)

The DTBP addition with B100 lowers the smoke emission

Smoke emission reduce linearly with increase in proportion of DTBP

BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, produces prolonged flammability and increases the combustion rate and reduce the DTBP blends in B100 reduce

the viscosity and cause higher dissipation, rapid and richer fuel-air blending and lower smoke emission.

The maximum smoke emission for diesel was 1.25 BSU, 1.1 BSU for B100,

0.9 BSU for BD90DTBP10, 0.8 BSU for BD80DTBP, at maximum brake mean effective pressure (6 bar)

Brake thermal efficiency:

BTE increase with BMEP for all test fuels.

Biofuels have lower calorific values

The calorific value of B100 is 9.5% lower than diesel.

Hence more quantity of fuel is supplied to meet constant power output

B100 produces 2.1% lower BTE than diesel fuel at peak load condition

The DTBP addition with B100 further lowers BTE

BTE reduce with increase in proportion of DTBP

BD90DTBP10 and BD80DTBP20 produce 4.2 % and 4.8% lower BTE than the diesel fuel at peak load condition

The requirements of BD90DTBP10 and BD80DTBP20 for delivering the same power as that of diesel would be higher thereby causing heat losses

and paving way for lower efficiencies

The maximum BTE for diesel was 28.8%, B100 is 26.8%, BD90DTBP10 is 24.6% and BD80DTBP20 is 24.1% at maximum BMEP (6 bar)

Brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC):

BSFC is a parameter, which defines fuel consumption and utilization per unit power and time

BSFC for BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 higher than diesel at all BMEP.

BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 produces 0.056 kg/kWh, 0.049 kg/kWh and 0.027 kg/kWh higher BSFC than diesel fuel at peak condition

The DTBP addition with B100 increases the fuel consumption

BTE and BSFC are inversely proportional

The maximum BSFC for diesel was 0.254 kg/kWh, 0.281 kg/kWh for B100, 0.31 kg/kWh for BD90DTBP10 and 0.33 kg/kWh for BD80DTBP20

at maximum BMEP (6 bar)

Nitrogen oxide emissions:

Nox emissions for biofuels are higher than diesel at all BMEP

The DTBP addition with B100 lowers the Nox emission

Nox emission reduce linearly with increase in proportion of DTBP

The maximum NOx emission for diesel was 13.1 g/kWh, 14.9 g/kWh for B100, 14.2 g/kWh for BD90DTBP10, 13.4 g/kWh for BD80DTBP20, at maximum brake mean effective

pressure (6 bar)

Conclusions:

Fuel samples – BD100, BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, and diesel

DTBP – Oxygenated additive

Emission characteristics of the test fuels analysed

Production of Biodiesel – by Base – Catalysed transesterification process

HC and CO emissions reduced with addition of DTBP as compared to BD100 (owing to enriched

oxygen content)

Reduction in emission For BD80DTBP20 – 5.2 % in HC and 7.4 % in CO

Smoke opacity – reduced by 3.6% for BD80DTBP20 (due to enhanced spray characteristics of DTBP blends)

NO<sub>x</sub> emission lowered by 6.86% for BD90DTBP10 and 11.2% for BD80DTBP20 than BD100. NO<sub>x</sub> emission for biodiesel is higher than diesel at all conditions

BTE in Ascending order: Diesel > B100 > BD90DTBP10 > BD80DTBP20 (owing to lesser calorific value)

Overall BSFC is: 1.63 kg/kWh (BD80DTBP20), 1.55 kg/kWh (BD90DTBP10), and 1.44g/kWh (B100) are inferior to 1.33g/kWh (Diesel) – owing to lesser calorific value

### **Neem biodiesel**

Neem Biodiesel NBD Blending with Carbon Nanotubes CNT

NBDCNT50 – CNT (alpha, 98+) 50ppm

NBDCNT100 – CNT (alpha, 98+) 100ppm

Materials and Methods:

Fuel Preparation – base catalysed transesterification process

CNT – procured from sigma-aldrich (99.4%)

Particle size 50nm.

Fuel containing CNT nanoparticle is also stirred using magnetic agitator for 60 min at a speed of 450 rpm

Engine setup – Single cylinder and four-stroke diesel engine

Results and Discussion:

Nitrogen Oxide emission:

The NBD and CNT blends exhibit more amount of NO<sub>x</sub> than diesel due to higher oxygen availability that resulted in high combustion temperature and higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions

Hydrocarbon emission:

The samples exhibit lower HC emissions than diesel at all loads

Carbon Monoxide Emission:

CO emission characteristics of the diesel are higher than that of samples

Carbon dioxide emission:

The amount of oxygen available in samples for combustion is adequate causing effective combustion and higher CO<sub>2</sub> emissions than diesel

Smoke opacity:

Smoke emissions of diesel are higher than that of all samples

Conclusion:

Engine – single cylinder type

Fuel samples – NBD, NBDCNT50, NBDCNT100 and diesel

CNT – metal based additive

Emission characteristics of test fuels have been analysed by comparing with the neat baseline diesel fuel

Production of biodiesel by base – catalysed transesterification technique

Physiochemical properties of Biodiesel is par with ASTM standards

NBD emits 4.8% higher NO<sub>x</sub> compared to diesel at peak load condition

CNT nanoparticle inclusion at 100 ppm promotes 9.2% lower NO<sub>x</sub> compared to NBD

Overall HC and CO emissions are 6.8% and 4.7% lower for NBD compared to diesel. CNT addition at 100 ppm further reduces the HC and CO emission by 6.7% and 5.9% respectively, compared to NBD

CO<sub>2</sub> emission in NBD is 6.6 % higher than diesel at peak condition. The CNT inclusion with NBD further increases the CO<sub>2</sub> emission due to complete combustion

Smoke emission of NBD is 2.1% lower than diesel at peak condition.

The CNT inclusion at different ppm further reduces its smoke emissions by 7.8% when compared to NBD

No provisions were provided to remove the nanoparticle after the combustion from the exhaust system.

### **Mahua oil biodiesel:**

Fuel Preparation:

MOBD is derived by transesterification process

Preparation of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles

By adding 100 and 200 ppm of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanopowder to distilled water on volume basis. Mixing CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with MOBD using magnetic stirrer for 60 min at a speed of 510 rpm in atmospheric conditions

Notation:

MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>100 = MOB + 100 ppmCeO<sub>2</sub>

MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>200 = MOB + 200 ppm CeO<sub>2</sub>

Results and Discussion:

NO<sub>x</sub> Emission:

Higher in MOB and nanoparticle blends

Lower in Diesel

Causes:

Higher in built oxygen in fuel

High temperature during combustion

CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle:

Catalytic effect promotes combustion by reducing ignition delay period

enhance the oxidation reaction during combustion

Reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emission

CO Emission:

lower in MOB and nanoparticle blends

higher in Diesel

Causes

Surplus O<sub>2</sub> present in MOB, MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>100 and MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>200 take parting combustion

MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>100 and MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>200 shows significant reduction in CO emission than neat biodiesel

Improve rate of Oxygen by donating O<sub>2</sub>

CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle

enhance the oxidation reaction during combustion

Reduce CO emission

Smoke Emission:

CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle

Reduce smoke emission

Causes:

Higher in built oxygen molecules in fuels

Enhance the rate of evaporation of fuel with excess air

Reduce activation temperature of carbon aids complete combustion Lower smoke

emission

HCEmission:

lower in MOB and nanoparticle blends

higher in Diesel

Causes:

Higher in built oxygen

Surplus O<sub>2</sub> present in MOB, MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>100 and MOBDCeO<sub>2</sub>200 take parting

combustion

CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle:

Improve rate of combustion by donating O<sub>2</sub> molecules

Enhance the oxidation reaction during combustion

Reduce CO emission

Conclusion:

Mahua Oil Biodiesel blended with CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles (100 ppm, 200 ppm). Tested in diesel engine (1800 rpm constant speed) at

varying loading conditions CeO<sub>2</sub> reduce (HC, CO, NO<sub>x</sub>) Emissions significantly. Biodiesel with 200 ppm of CeO<sub>2</sub> achieved.

Significant reductions in all the emissions

Causes

Catalytic effect

Improved thermal conductivity

Better oxidation capability of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles

### **Cashew nut shell oil biodiesel**

Properties of Biodiesel-Cashew Nut Shell oil CNSL:

Reddish brown

Viscous liquid

Cashew nut shell constituents

Epicarp

Endocarp

Mesocarp

Natural resin which contains theoil

Cashew nut-edible

CNSL- oil between seed coat and thenut

Results and Discussion:

CO Emission:

CO emission from biodiesels are lower than diesel at all loads

Higher oxygen content endorse oxidation reaction and result in lessCO

CO emission from preheated biodiesels are lower than neat biodiesel at all loads

Low viscosity of preheated biodiesel increases the atomisation process and lowers the ignition delay andCO emission

Preheating improves spray characteristics and air fuel mixing resulting in low COemission

HC Emission:

HC emissions from biodiesels are lower than Diesel at all loads

Higher Oxygen content in methyl ester promoting combustion and resulting in lesser HCemission

HC emission preheated biodiesels is lower than BD100at allloads

Low viscosity increases atomisation process and lowers ignition delay and HCemission

Increase in combustion characteristics achieved with increase in fuel inlettemperature.

NO<sub>x</sub> Emission:

NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from biodiesels are higher than Diesel at allloads

Higher Oxygen content in methyl ester promoting combustion and resulting in higher NOxemission

NO<sub>x</sub> emission preheated biodiesels is higher thanBD100 at allloads

Increase in combustion gas temperature with increase in fuel inlettemperature.

Smoke Intensity

Exhaust smoke emission from biodiesels are lower than Diesel at allloads

It increases with load for allfuels

Due to lower availability of oxygen for diesel results in high smoke emission

Smoke emission of preheated biodiesels is lesser thanBD100

Viscosity of preheated biodiesel is lesser thanBD100

Combustion is uniform causing lesser smokeemission

Conclusion:

Suitability as a substitute for CI and Emission characteristicsofCashewNutShell OilBiodiesel(BD100andBD100T90)

Reasons for adoption of Cashew Nut Shell Oil – favourable climatic conditions, availability of large uncultivated waste lands,

properties closer to diesel, Non-toxic and free fromsulphur

HC and CO reduce than diesel at all loads by preheating thefuel

samples at three different temperatures

NO<sub>x</sub> emission are higher thandiesel

Preheating the biodiesel to various temperatures shows continuous increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emission than Cashew nut bio diesel at allloads

The biodiesel shall be used in unmodified diesel engine. Nomajormodifications are required.

Nitrogen emission:

NO<sub>x</sub> emissions tested for all fuels NBD100, NBDCeO<sub>2</sub>10,NBDCeO<sub>2</sub>20,diesel.

NO<sub>x</sub> emission for NBD100 are 3.3% (at 0.75 bar), 4.1% (at 1.5 bar),4.8%(at 2.25 bar), 5.1%(at 3 bar) and 5.7%(at 3.75bar).

Abundance on oxygen increases the temperature and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions

NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from NBD100CeO<sub>2</sub>10, NBD100CeO<sub>2</sub>are lower than NBD100 (but slightly higher than diesel at allBMEP)

Inclusion of 10 nm and 20 nm particle size of CeO<sub>2</sub>nano additive, 2.7% and 3.6% lower NO<sub>x</sub> emissions thanNBD100

CeO<sub>2</sub>nano-additive reduce the temperature of soot-oxidation and ensuing lower NOxemission.

NO<sub>x</sub> emissions at 3.75 bar BMEP 12.8 g/kWh (for NBD100), 12.4 g/kWh (for diesel), 12.1 g/kWh(for NBDCeO<sub>2</sub>10) and 10.5 g/kWh(for NBDCeO<sub>2</sub>20).



## II. CONCLUSIONS

Orange Peel oil

Samples exhibit lower HC and CO emissions as compared to theBD100

Corn Oil

COBDE1 and COBDE2 exhibit Lower HC and CO emission

Mustard oil

HC and CO emissions reduced significantly with the addition of DTBP

Neem Bio Diesel with higher alcohol

Smoke opacity decreased for all neem oil biodiesel/alcohol blends.

NOx emission decreased with an increase in alcohol content in the blends

HC emission observed to be lower with two alcohol blends at all loads because of inherent lower energy content

Neem oil biodiesel/alcohol blends ignite earlier than diesel fuel owing to their higher cetane number and result in lower HC emission

Neem BioDiesel withCeO<sub>2</sub>

The CO and HC emissions are 4.3% and 4.7% lower for NBD100 than diesel at 3.5 bar BMEP.

CeO<sub>2</sub> nano particle further reduces CO and HC emission 4.2% (for NBD CeO<sub>2</sub>20) and 3.6% (for NBD100)

The degree of NOx emission in NBD100 is 5.6% higher at 3.5 bar BMEP. When compared to NBD100, tail pipe NOx emission

was found to be 2.7% and 3.6% lower when fueled with NBD CeO<sub>2</sub>20 and NBD CeO<sub>2</sub>10.

When compared to Diesel, tailpipe smoke emission was found to be 1.7% lower when fueled with NBD100.

CeO<sub>2</sub>nanoparticle further reduces the smoke emission by 1.6% and 1.8% respectively for NBD CeO<sub>2</sub>10 and NBD CeO<sub>2</sub>20 when

compared to NBD100 owing to its improved catalytic activity

Neem Bio Diesel with CNT

The NBD emits 4.8% higher Nox emission compared to diesel at peak load condition

Mahua Oil

CeO<sub>2</sub> reduce Emissions significantly

Biodiesel with 200 ppm of CeO<sub>2</sub> achieved Significant reductions in all the emissions

Cashew Nut Shell Oil

Preheating with increasing temperatures continuously reduces HC and COemission

Nox emission are higher than diesel

Preheating shows continues increase in Nox emission at all loads

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Ganesan S, Sivasubramanian R, Munuswamy Dinesh Babu, Arunkumar T, and Devarajan Yuvarajan Testing and Evaluation of Performance and Emissions Characteristics of Water Biodiesel Aspirated Research Engine, *JTAE*, 2018. doi:10.1520/JTE20180306
- [2]. Ganesan S, Sivasubramanian Rathinam, JB Sajin, Subbiah Ganesan.
- [3]. Devarajan Yuvarajan (2019) Performanceandemissionstudyontheeffectofoxygengatedadditiveinneatbiodieselfueled diesel engine,
- [4]. Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, *IJGE*. 2019, 41:16,2017-2027, doi: 10.1080/15567036.2018.1549148
- [5]. Ganaram Ramakrishnan, Purushothaman Krishnan, Sivasubramanian Rathinam, Thiyagu R. Yuvarajan Devarajan Roleofnano-additiveblendedbiodieselonemissioncharacteristicsoftheresearchdiesel engine, *IJGE*. 2019, doi:10.1080/15435075.2019.1577742
- [6]. Sivasubramanian R ,Sajin J B &Parthasarathy C Emissioncharacteristicsstudyofcompression ignition .
- [7]. engine fuelled with biodiesel and Cerium oxide nanoparticle blends, *IJAE*, 2019 doi:10.1080/01430750.2019.1583132
- [8]. Sivasubramanian R& Sajin J B Emission Study on the effect of preheating the neat biodiesel on research diesel engine, *IJAE* doi:10.1080/01430750.2019.1594379
- [9]. SivasubramanianRathinam,SajinJB,GanesanSubbiah,AnwarRajeev,PrakashChristopherselvam Assessment of the emission.
- [10]. Emission characteristics of the diesel engine with nano-particle in neem biodiesel, *Energy Sources*, *IJME*. 2018
- [11]. Rathinam S, Balan N,Subbaiah G,Sajinand J B, Devarajan Y Emissionstudyofadieselengine fuelled with higher alcohol- biodiesel blended fuels, *IJGE*. 2019; 256(50):102-5p.